

No.	From	Feedback	Suggestions
Written comments from consultation evening			
1	Resident	Prefers proposal 3. Main concern is the traffic and parking around Urban Rd / St. James' Ct where parents drop off and block the road making it dangerous for residents to come out of St James Court. Also cars parking at entrance to St James Court blocking all cars leaving the estate.	No
2	Staff	Proposal 3 seems the only viable option - option 1 & 2 restricts any sort of social space for pupils. It's an impossible solution to have 1 & 2.	Total rebuild of main school site ideal but understand financial implications of that.
3	Staff	Option 3 is the only option. 1 & 2 stop children from being outside, they must have outdoor space to play. This also needs to happen for the buses-need space for them to come in and turn to keep children safe.	Close path at back between back playground and Sports Academy to keep children safe.
4	Resident	A lot of people use the public open space near the swings. We as a family use it every day to walk to St Vincent's school and at weekends we use the park, the football pitch, have picnics in summer etc. We would not want the building of new classrooms on this field to affect this. If possible prefer the buildings to be built within existing school grounds.	Knock down single storey classrooms and re-build multi-storey classrooms as best use of schools current footprint but understand this may be too expensive.
5	Parent	Helpful to see more than one proposal. Open discussion about merits of each. Hope the new development will relieve pressure on lunch hall (30 min lunches). As site so tight and built up will new development offer opportunity to add an element of greenery? Green roof, usable green roof. Hope design has some dynamism/architectural merit stimulating use of materials beyond simply servicing the needs of increased capacity. Might it contain balconies, terraces, some way of connecting inside and outside less abruptly. Prefer option 3 if environmental impact can be lessened and community access considered.	Would like consideration of greenery to be thought of as part of the building phase not an after-thought. Excited to see material use!
6	Governor	Option 3. I can't look any further or give any good feedback on other options. Traffic & H/S issues give concern for options 1 & 2.	A complete re-build would be great.
7	Resident	Main issue is parking in surrounding roads. Currently 6th formers are double parking on Welman Way and Birchlea - safety issues.	
8	Parent	Prefer option 3 due to demand for space on site if option 1 or 2 chosen. Understand need to compensate if using green space on option 3 how would this be fulfilled? Would hope new build relieve pressure at lunchtimes - lack of time and space?	Would love new classrooms designed with some flexibility to the spaces which would hopefully encourage a variety of uses instead of just traditional classroom space.
9	Staff	From looking at the 3 proposals, the preferred option would be three. This not decrease recreational space and minimise disruption.	
10	Resident & Staff.	All options I think would work but I think option 3 is best option for school and safety and well-being of pupils.	
11	Governor	Looking closely at the 3 proposals, we are hoping that option 3 is chosen. This would be less disruptive for a smooth running school and safer for children.	
12	Resident	Proposals look interesting but my only concern would be the parking issue on Moss Lane. It could also coincide with the building of Altair.	

13	Resident	My favourite is option 3 as it has least impact on residents nearby for visibility and noise from new building. My main concern is the volume of personal cars and coaches and buses. This is a major safety issue for people in the area. We have had a near miss of a three year old crossing Urban Rd when car came speeding around corner at peak morning time.	People have checked traffic issues at end of the day - this needs to be done at the start of the day and traffic calming measures need to be introduced alongside a review of resident's parking and permits to assist with traffic flow.
14	Parent	I would prefer option 1 if enough outside space was enabled to allow students to get fresh air and exercise in their play or lunchtime. Option 3 is my second choice but I am not as happy at losing green space.	
15	Resident	All options work but I think option 3 would benefit the school so they don't lose parking, and the safety of the pupils so buses can still collect them. Though happy for whichever option goes ahead as it is the future of the school.	
16	Resident	In general plans are very positive. Additional areas are needed for pupils moving forward. My biggest concern is the local traffic as the area is already congested. Some parents have no consideration for residents and I question some of their driving skills.	A roll on roll off pick up area with a queueing system.
17	Parent & Trafford Netball Coach	All options very good and worth pursuing. As a long standing parent and coach at Trafford Junior Netball we welcome the community usage ideas .Could you ensure there is a dedicated safe secure community storage space. The Club would be happy to support aspects of the fit out (eg. netball posts, equipment, electronic scoreboard, piping for water fountains etc). If required so long as there is some form of benefit to the Trafford players.	
18	Local Group	Proposal number 3 seems acceptable providing the existing children's play area is secured,	Parking for the present and future needs to be catered for. Please consider parking concessions for parents to drop off and collect children from school 8am to 9am, 3pm to 4pm and a one-way system to prevent congestion.
19	Resident	Option 3 would be my preference i.e. the building on the open land towards Timperley Brook. This has all the advantages listed at the consultation and little loss comparatively of a public amenity.	
20	Parent/Resident	Interesting development with the three options on offer. Option 3 looks like the most desired option.	Look forward to hearing from the school and those involved on which option is chosen.
21	Resident	Happy with option 1 & 3. Option 3 build on the field - this area is a well-used space by the community, dog walkers, children's playing, and Sunday morning football (all ages). My family pick blackberries here at the end of the summer it would be a shame to see these bushes go. Could they be replaced?	
22	Resident	Concerns about parking on Oakfield Street for Astropitch use for two plus hours. Okay with parent parking as it is not for long. Prefer option 3 as it doesn't affect bungalows so much as long as no further building takes place.	
23	General Hersha	Welman Way open space has drainage problems.	

24	General Hersha	Worries about further development on open space if one building is allowed.	
25	General Hersha	Why don't you add more car parking spaces by making more basketball courts elsewhere?	
26	General Hersha	Prefer if you build on single storey blocks.	
27	General Hersha	Diversion of PROW is a very long process especially if you get objections.	
28	General Hersha	A lot of sixth formers are parking on Welman Way, Birchlea etc.	
29	General Hersha	Bus drivers are rude when challenged. They park and wait on Moss Lane, Urban Road.	
30	General Hersha	Parents are blocking drives and abusive when challenged.	
31	General Hersha	Many lorries and skips are parked on Balmoral Rd preventing parents from parking there.	
32	General Hersha	Astropitch users don't leave enough time to park and walk from school car park - therefore park for long periods on Oakfield Street.	
33	General Michael	One resident living on Urban Road requested zig zags outside the school to stop parents and buses waiting on the double yellow lines blocking drives.	
34	General Michael	One resident from the Wellman Way houses asked if the loss of part of the playing field will go against the original planning permission granted for the new homes on Wellman Way. He also asked if as a compensatory factor for the community for the loss of playing field that the existing playing field has some drainage installed. He also suggested that the netball courts could be relocated behind the school to give more space for on-site parking	
35	General comments picked up by Michael	One resident from Oakfield Street asked if the next consultation could be open until 8pm to enable more residents to attend and asked if a member of the Highways Team could be present. They have trouble with parking on Oakfield road, they have been expecting signage but this seems to have taken years. We explained this is in progress. They have trouble with litter on Oakfield Road and with cars getting scratched and parents waiting in resident only bays with their engines running and they just drive off and re-park up when challenged.	
36	General Michael	The loss of the car park at the rear of the school has meant that people using the artificial pitch are street parking and causing nuisance.	
37	General comments picked up by Michael	Generally all of the people Mick Cook spoke with were in favour of Option 3 due to the additional traffic pressures and bus issues from the other options and most felt that the other options were too large for the pre-fab houses on Urban Road.	
38	General Michael	Some asked why the existing blocks could not be demolished and a larger block be built to replace it. We explained this was due to budget.	
39	General Michael	Some asked what the finishes would be to the new building but we explained this could not be decided until we knew where the building was going.	

40	General comment picked up by Cllr Michael Welton	I was speaking to a resident on Welman Way the other day, who hoped that as part of this scheme there could be an upgrade to the unpaved path that runs between the school boundary fence and the brook. This would have major advantages for active travel connectivity for the school and locality. A review of the position of the boundary fence would be required to make it wide enough.	
Emailed comments			
41	A number of Residents	<p>First of all, thank you very much for meeting with me yesterday and accommodating my slightly early arrival. It was truly refreshing to feel listened to when I was completely expecting to be categorically ignored so I'd like to thank the school and council representatives for their time and courtesy.</p> <p>As I mentioned, I attended not only for me but also on behalf of a number of the residents that have difficulty in these environments. I think we all share the view however that the children that attend BTH are very well rounded individuals with bright futures ahead of them. Our biggest concern though is the parents and their behaviour at picking up and dropping off times. It's hard to assign them 'blame' for their demeanour as what it really comes down to is a simple clash of priorities and personalities in a confined environment.</p> <p>In the attached document I have a few proposals for you to consider. I have dedicated a significant amount of time to observing and thinking of solutions to this problem but make no mistake, this is a problem that absolutely requires your attention and will only get worse with the intended expansion. I hope this demonstrates my well-meaning intentions to be part of a solution rather than create reactionary obstructions.</p> <p>It would be easy to immediately jump to an alarmist worst case scenario but instead I'm just providing you with options to reduce the latent driver tension and improve the process as efficiently as possible. They are simple solutions with a range of cost interpretations that I hope can be easily incorporated into your final plans.</p> <p>I hope you had a nice evening overall and I wish you the best of luck with the fantastic proposals laid out. I look forward to joining the gym in particular.</p> <p>I would be happy to answer further questions.</p>	An email attachment contained detailed suggestions
42	Resident	<p>Unfortunately I'm stuck in a business meeting and will not be able to attend the meeting today about the planned expansion of school.</p> <p>Can you please get it noted that whilst I don't oppose the plans I want to register my voice about making Oakfield street a no vehicle access, with the exception of resident road, if another 400+ children are to attend school.</p> <p>Oakfield St can't cope with the amount of traffic at the moment as well as the number of pupils that access school via the back gate. Another 400+ will impact heavily on health and safety aspect of pupils and residents. Also litter provision needs addressing. This is not adequate at the moment and school needs to focus on a permanent proactive resolution to this not being reactive when the litter is a massive issue.</p>	
43	Peak and Northern Footpaths Society	<p>I write on behalf of the Peak and Northern Footpaths Society.</p> <p>Thank you for the invitation to the informal consultation on 22 January 2020 regarding the proposed expansion of Blessed Thomas Holford School and Catholic College.</p>	

		<p>We note that Options 1 and 2 presented at the consultation would limit the development to the existing site and would not affect public rights of way or public access generally. Option 3 would affect what is now de-facto public open space, and a public right of way (public footpath number 22 Altrincham).</p> <p>We would not normally support development that results in the loss of public open space. In this case we recognise that Option 3 may be the School's preference as it will not result in the loss of playground or on-site parking space, and should cause less disruption during construction. As such we are likely to remain neutral about the choice of options.</p> <p>If Option 3 is chosen we accept the need to divert the public footpath, which would otherwise pass through the school site. We note that the proposed diversion would result in an increase in the length of some 10 metres but do not consider this significant. The proposed diversion of the public footpath appears acceptable, provided that the surface and boundary treatment of the new footpath are appropriate to the expected use of the path, and the opportunity is taken to optimise the visual aspect from the path. We understand that if Option 3 is chosen, access to the construction site will be along the line of the existing public footpath and we would require that pedestrian access be maintained at all times, if necessary along an acceptable temporary diversion.</p>	
44	Resident	<p>I would just like to use this email to respond to the consultation process taking place currently into the expansion and redevelopment at Blessed Thomas Holford College. I attended the open session yesterday at the school where the various proposed options were available to view.</p> <p>As a local resident one of the main concerns caused by the expansion is an increase in local congestion. Although it appears that the college has a high proportion of students using public transport an addition of 100 new pupils will inevitably bring a number of additional vehicles into the area, at peak times for drop off and pick up. Another issue would be the logistics of the construction. It didn't indicate on the proposal where the construction compound would be located and if it would be able to accommodate contractor vehicles within the site and would this lead to a high volume of vehicles parking on adjacent streets. With Option 2 of the proposal there are two locations within the current site earmarked for construction. With the area to the north it appears the access would not be possible via the school main entrance. This would possibly lead to construction traffic having to access the site via Oakfield St. Currently this is a well-used pedestrian access to 2 schools and also provides access to a public footpath well-used by local residents. There doesn't look like it is possible to afford access to construction site traffic (including HGV's) via this point. I would be interested to find out how this would be achieved without impacting public access and safety.</p> <p>With Option 1 the works appears to be situated on one location with access via the main entrance. The impact being a loss of parking and outdoor space within the school and although would restrict access for buses would probably cause the least local disruption. The loss of parking either within, or outside the school seems to be a problem that struggles to be resolved during any of</p>	

		<p>the expansion options.</p> <p>With Option 3 the proposal is to build on the adjacent public open-space. It doesn't on the plan show what size the actual footprint would be, it would have been useful to have an artist impression of the scale and visual impact of the project. There also didn't seem to be anything by the way of landscaping proposed to mitigate the visual impact of the new build. There was also a indicated issue around safe-guarding as the site could only be accessed from the current school by way of a public right of way. It also does not mention if this public right of way could remain open during construction and how vehicles could access the site during construction.</p> <p>I feel that if issues such as congestion, impact on available parking, disruption to residents during construction, accessibility, loss of visual amenity and mitigation of loss of open space can be addressed in the next phase and guarantees made to local residents there would be no reason to make any objections.</p>	
45	Resident	<p>I've reviewed the plans online and would prefer that the school expands in the current area without taking space from the public field.</p> <p>Additionally; I don't understand how expansion on the field is an option as information shared when I bought my house on welman way suggested the field cannot be built on due to the previous gas works.</p>	
46	Resident	<p>Having seen the plans, we prefer the options 1 or 2, so that the open space on the Welman Way Development is not used.</p> <p>Living on Birchlea, we are not happy with the proposed expansion of the College. Our life has been made very unpleasant by all the 6th form children bringing their cars to school and parking in Birchlea and Welman Way and remaining here all day from 8.00am to 4.00pm. Their rubbish has been dropped in the gutter after they have moved off. All this is not acceptable and it causes obstruction to deliveries to the road, including my husband's medical supplies.</p> <p>Currently, we are very aware of climate change and feel that children should not be driving to school, instead of getting public transport.</p>	
47	Resident	<p>Thank you for the invitation to the recent consultation event regarding the planned extensions to BTH School.</p> <p>Let me begin by saying that the team staffing the event were professional and courteous throughout. However, I do have serious criticisms that this is being presented as a serious consultation exercise and I do hope that Trafford Council / BTH will reconsider its approach and be more honest with the public about what is being proposed.</p> <p>Three options were presented. Disappointingly, two of which are obviously fabricated concepts to create an illusion of a consultation process regarding alternatives (a huge box taking up the only outdoor play /netball area for instance). I almost felt sorry for the team staffing the event, as they squirmed at being forced to repeat a lie that there was no preferred option yet; and that all three options would be considered after the consultation. Please be honest: two are clearly made up, and the development of the adjoining field off Welman Way is blatantly what BTH is</p>	

	<p>planning to apply for. How do you expect the public to believe that an option has not already been chosen when the purported timetable assumes a start on site by August 2020? It is simply not credible to say you've not even decided on an option yet, whilst at the same time presenting a timetable that requires all design work, planning application, costing, funding, discharge conditions, and detailed design for build, mobilisation and commencement of build in just eight months. It is also not credible to say that you would start the build of the fake options within the existing school estate in August either - right at the start of the school year!?</p> <p>As a result, I feel very angry that the School, the Council and its advisors have embarked on a disingenuous consultation exercise and are treating local residents like fools.</p> <p>As this is supposedly a consultation, you have requested our comments. Some requests and recommendations below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediately end the fake 'we are open minded about the three options' exercise, stop treating the community like idiots, and come clean that the proposal is for new development on the field beside Welman Way. 2. If you are to continue with the pretence that the community's views on alternatives will be considered, then make it a proper, open consideration of all alternatives. For example, this would need to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - do nothing - smaller classroom extension and smaller sports hall - extensions / alterations to existing buildings - alternative locations - eg fields to the north of King George V Pool, existing AstroTurf (eg with pitches relocated eg to Welman Way, north of King George V Pool) - acquiring and converting vacant office space on Scott Drive (office to education use is permitted development) - demolish existing buildings and rebuild higher - any other options that the design team, school and public reasonably suggest 3. Provide some proper details of the actual proposals so that the community can understand what the impacts on the local environment will be. The field off Welman Way presently provides a pleasant, open aspect, used informally by many locals, set against a backdrop of mature trees. Considerations / basic requirements for the local community to be able to offer any meaningful views include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Design And landscaping details. These will be critical. What landscaping improvements around the site and approaches to it will be offered as mitigation for the harm caused by a new cavernous building built on a field? - Show the scale related to existing trees and residential properties. - Provide a daytime and nighttime landscape and visual impact assessment. - Show details of potential design and materials - Explain measures to mitigate the effects of increased parking demand on local residential streets 4. Do not claim in future submissions that 'the community has been consulted and this was the preferred option. For the reasons set out above, this initial 	
--	--	--

		<p>exercise is disingenuous and presents a false choice to the local community.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you with further details of the scheme, and hopefully being consulted at the next stage on a genuine proposal without the pretence. Preferably, you will start afresh with an honest, full and open consideration of all potential options.</p> <p>I have copied in my ward councillors to this response, as they were mentioned by representatives as having been consulted and informed prior to the exhibition.</p>	
48	Resident	<p>Ref: OBJECTION: Proposed new Teaching and Sports Building at Blessed Thomas Holford Catholic College, WA158HT.</p> <p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I wish to object to the plans to expand this school. My home is directly behind this development and I will be severely impacted by all developments; In particular Option 1 and 2. This development is far too large, tall and close in proximity to residents in a quiet, low density residential community.</p> <p>Option 1: The proposed build is too high and too large in scale : A 3 storey block is not acceptable in this local density residential area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It will block light from my home • It will be an eyesore from the back on my home • It will affect my garden • Noise when building will be hugely disruptive • Noise when in use by the school will be hugely disruptive • An additional 100 students over 2 years = additional vehicular and pedestrian traffic on already congested residential roads. • Additional 3 staff = additional parking = additional traffic • Additional 100 students each day will have a large noise impact of an additional residential area <p>Option 2: The proposed build is too high and too large in scale: A 3 storey block is not acceptable in this local density residential area. Splitting the block into 2 sections will have little impact to my home.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It will block light from my home • It will be an eyesore from the back on my home • It will affect my garden • Noise when building will be hugely disruptive • Noise when in use by the school will be hugely disruptive • Additional 3 staff = additional parking = additional traffic • Additional 100 students each day will have a large noise impact of an additional residential area <p>Option 3: This seems to be a potential solution to this issue and though not ideal I would be happy to work with the council to learn more about this.</p> <p>I note that option 3 'disadvantages' state that there is a 'Increased</p>	

		<p>risk regarding approvals' and thus it seems that is why this is option 3 and is not option1 when it seems the best of all options. Option 3 may be the only possible solution but will still need a huge amount of consultation with myself and my neighbours, as we are directly affected by this development.</p> <p>I would appreciate being allocated a direct contact so that I can be supported during this consultation as it is my home that is so severely impacted by any development whether it be 1,2 or 3.</p> <p>We would be happy for a Surveyor from the council to visit our home to see the impact this build would have and the proximity of this build to our home and the related concerns as detailed above.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p>	
49	Resident	<p>I have for a long time been concerned about the level of traffic on Oakfield Street; causing both nuisance to residents and a safety risk to all using Oakfield Street.</p> <p>Parents of BTH and users of the astroturf pitch create an unmanageable volume of traffic on Oakfield Street and contravene parking restrictions. This behaviour goes unchallenged and there is no incentive for motor users not to do so. Both my wife and I have personally been involved in confrontations with motor users about this behaviour. I know that others have had similar experiences and it remains a matter of exasperation that no one at BTH or Trafford Council are concerned by this matter.</p> <p>I would also point out that this issue was unavoidably exacerbated by Trafford Council's sale of the Balmoral Road car park. Whilst I understand that this has now been done, concerns previously raised regarding this action and the impact on the local community will be relevant to the future planning application.</p> <p>Trafford Council owes a duty to its constituents and BTH owes a duty to its local community / neighbours. This issue is directly relevant to the proposed new build.</p> <p>BTH and Trafford Council New Build</p> <p>I received a letter dated 8 January 2020 inviting me to an informal consultation regarding the expansion of BTH School and Catholic College.</p> <p>I was unable to attend the meeting due to work.</p> <p>Please can someone from BTH confirm that the proposals do not represent a prescribed alteration to a maintained school for the purposes of the Education Act 1996?</p> <p>I have viewed the document available on the School website (http://www.bthcc.org.uk/2020/01/blessed-thomas-holford-expansion-proposals/), please can BTH also confirm whether any further documents are available at this stage. In particular, documents related to:</p>	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The stated disadvantages of overdevelopment of the school site; - The stated concern that staff parking will need to move onto public roads during construction - The stated disruption to student entry and exit - Any proposed increase in student numbers as a result of the development - Any anticipated impact upon local infrastructure, beyond the site of the school 	
Citizen Space Online comments			
50	Staff/Governor	<p>Well away from residents. Improved footpath facilities on completion of the building.</p> <p>Within the confines and parameters of any new building, it seems this option would provide the least disruption to the local area, both during construction and once the building was in use.</p>	
51	Resident	<p>Expanding this school to make it bigger than what it is, is going to cause more problems on the roads in the area with even more parents parking on already congested roads. On proposed option 1 and 2 you would be building where school buses park thus forcing buses out on to the surrounding streets that are already congested with parents cars so it would effectively block the roads causing more chaos than what happens at the moment as residents already struggle to park up if they arrive home at the wrong time. As for option 3 you would be taking away lots of green space something that we do not have a lot of in the area and would stop people from using paths that have been used by the public for generations. Personally I think you should stop extending this school and look for a different location and build a brand new school.</p>	
52	Resident	<p>As a resident on Urban Road, our road cannot cope with the amount of traffic as it is, the road is too narrow for buses already, and increased footfall is only going to make this worse.</p> <p>The loss of our public right of way to King George Pool, used by many residents including myself.</p> <p>Loss of privacy with another 3 storey building being proposed will mean loss of light and privacy to mine and other resident's properties.</p> <p>Parking issues, we only have a residential bay opposite us to park our cars in which we pay for each year, your proposals included staff parking on public roads, there physically isn't any space.</p> <p>Continued use outside of school hours for the proposal again will infringe our privacy as residents.</p>	
53	School staff/Governor	<p>I feel the only option that would be viable would be the third - Off site.</p> <p>The children already have VERY limited recreational space and taking what little space they have would be detrimental to their social and emotional wellbeing- They need time to enjoy their day and decompress. There are already massive issues with lessons being disturbed by children because of the existing lack of space. I also believe there would be huge issues with staff parking as there is nowhere in the local area to park. Tesco only offer 3 hours and Altrincham is notorious for its lack of parking to begin with- Staff would have to be in the area much earlier which would have a wider impact on childcare- The first two options just don't seem viable.</p>	

54	School staff/ Governor	I prefer option 3 as this would mean that we don't lose the playground. I also think option 3 would look more aesthetically pleasing and a better option space wise for the school which already has a very small site.	
55	Parent/carer/student		
56	School staff/ Governor	Location 3 is by far the best option. Our pupils already do not have enough outdoor space to play, and building on their playground will restrict them even further.	
57	School staff/ Governor	I think it's a fantastic use of the public open space and the perfect option to create more facilities for our school.	
58	School staff/ Governor	<p>Thank you for the work that has gone into these proposals. While Locations 1 and 2 are possibly the quickest solutions in terms of planning and permission, I feel the impact they would have on the recreational space for the children and light into buildings would have a negative impact on the school community. Pupil recreational space has already been steadily impacted upon due to previous constructions as well as from parking overspill; pupil numbers have increased while outdoor space has decreased. I think it is important to really consider the pupil experience if proposed Location 1 or 2 went through.</p> <p>There is also the impact on staff and the local community from reduced parking during construction (and afterwards since currently space on the front playground is used for visitor parking). Parking is already an issue on site. 'Staff parking will need to move onto public roads' does not seem a sufficient contingency for this and would undoubtedly cause issues for local residents.</p> <p>Therefore, I feel Location 3 to be the most effective proposition. Though it is outside the current site, we already have a precedent for our pupils crossing a public right of way which I feel suggests this could be a manageable situation.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my feedback.</p>	
59	Parent/carer/student		
60	Parent/carer/student	<p>My preference would be for option 3.</p> <p>The school grounds are overdeveloped as they are, both options 1&2 will further increase the pressure on the current use of the grounds and further reduce pupils recreation space, which will be exacerbated further by the additional pupils taken in by such a development.</p>	
61	Resident /parent/carer/student	In my opinion this is the best option, the least disruption and maintaining recreational space	
62	Parent/carer/student	Option 3 looks the best as the children can still retain their playground and it seems minimum day to day disruption. The other 2 options just seem too built up - where would the children have free time?	

63	Parent/carer/student	<p>I think that this option offers the least disruption to pupils, whilst also ensuring that the open space immediately around the school is not reduced.</p> <p>I feel that option 1 and 2 would significantly decrease the amount of space and that it would feel too confined and cramped.....</p>	
64	School staff/Governor	<p>Location 2 and 3 seem to give the best options in terms of extra space for pupils, although location 3 creates safeguarding concerns. Location 2 could cause less bottle-necking of students getting into buildings as they will be moving to 2 different blocks, not 1, and the spaces those blocks would be occupying are not used for anything at present as they are considered to be out of bounds so pupils don't use them anyway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Have WC facilities (for staff and students), covered outside recreational/dining facilities and indoor dining areas be considered? - Where will the 8 additional staff car parking places be? - Are there any plans to reduce/cap student numbers? - Could a combination of 2 and 3 be considered? 	
65	Parent/carer/student	Definitely best	
66	Parent/carer/student	This seems to be most appropriate if the issues regarding public right of way can be addressed	
67	Parent/carer/student	<p>Prefer option 3: It does not further block and add complication to the already very complicated entrance to main school. It will not interfere with the school car parking area. If school car parking is reduced during school meetings then overspill will be onto public roads which are already very busy. This would be very frustrating for residents.</p>	
68	Parent/carer/student	<p>I feel location 1 and 2 take away the majority of the small space the children have left to socialise. I also feel the school shouldn't have taken on the majority of children it has without doing the necessary work beforehand. The entire school could do with being demolished and a more appropriate sized building put in its place to house the volume of children. Location 3 is the best option in my opinion as it still leaves the children some space to get a bit of fresh air.</p>	
69	local resident	<p>Location 3 seems the least bad option, although I deplore the reduction of green space. The other 2 options are likely to have lasting disruptive effects for local residents on Urban Road as school buses will have to park on public roads for the foreseeable future increasing the already existing congestion. 14 additional classrooms seem quite a lot to accommodate 100 additional pupils over 2 years.</p>	

70	Parent/carer/student		
71	Parent/carer/student	Location 3 is preferable as it's building will see the least disruption for pupils currently at the school and will not use up already limited outside space.	
72	Parent/carer/student	Seems the most logical option, increases site area which is what is needed.	
73	Parent/carer/student	Option 1 and 2 will infringe on recreational space for the children which is already limited. Option 3 would be most beneficial to the children (although I appreciate it is reducing local green space).	
74	school staff/Governor/parent/MATTA C	This would be fantastic for the school and create more space and opportunities for the young people. I also think this would also make a great facility for community use out of school hours.	
75	Parent/carer/student	I prefer option 3, the other 2 proposals appear to reduce the open space for pupils significantly and this will be made worse by the additional number of pupils. The library area is currently a lovely space due to the light and colours of the design and will be spoilt by the lack of light from the proposed new building. Additional space is required for the children, not a compromise on the space they already have.	
76	Parent/carer/student	The school site is already overcrowded with buildings and any further restriction of open space for pupil use within the existing boundaries would be detrimental to pupil health and wellbeing. Location 3 is therefore our preferred option in this case.	
77	local resident	Looks to be the best option with the least disturbance to the local residents and the school itself.	
78	Parent/carer/student	The school is so overcrowded and the local area very congested with traffic and parking. I believe Option 3 to be the best outcome for everyone. The green space is what BTH needs when looking out through the classrooms there's not much to see but concrete at the minute. Option 1 and 2 would just make the ridiculously overcrowded and in my opinion quite a dangerous environment especially when the children manoeuvre around the school to other lessons, even worse! Please Trafford council hurry this along its way, way overdue and overcrowded. Thank you	
79	school staff/governor	I believe option 3 is really the only viable option here. The present site is too small as it is. Further development to the front or back or both would only exacerbate the problem of overcrowding. The front playgrounds are the only open, accessible areas for pupils on break and lunch. Compressing more pupils (1500) by 2022 makes the environment very stressful and will result in a deterioration of behaviour. There is also the issue of registration during fire drills. Presently the front playground and the old AstroTurf are used. If you	

		<p>remove the playground then possibly the larger 3G pitch at the rear could be used but gaining access for such large numbers via the small gates leads to a huge bottle neck issue.</p> <p>Option 3 would be great if the sports hall site could become part of a large school site within new school boundaries all fenced off and the public right of way re-routed.</p>	
80	Parent/carer/student	<p>Looking at the plans I feel Option 3 would still give students the land they have available for breaks and lunch times.</p> <p>With there being more students attending in the future space is vital.</p>	
81	School staff/governor	<p>Space at the school is at a premium and the new facilities will provide additional space to reduce some of the overcrowding. the site suggested in location 3 is ideal, as it leaves the limited outdoor space currently at the school untouched.</p>	
82	Parent/carer/student	<p>BTH needs to extend to accommodate staff and pupils and to further enhance the educational performance</p>	
83	Resident / Staff/Governor	<p>Out of all the proposed ideas i believe option three would be the best for the school and the area, This is because if option one or two is chosen we will lose not only playground and parking spaces meaning the local area will be filled with many more cars than it already is during events but if we have any visitors the same problem would arise. As they will not be able to park on site. Living on urban drive and working at the school i hear a lot of complaints due to residents paying for parking outside their homes and then getting home to find the whole road filled as the parking restrictions have ran out of time and the school has an event on, i do not believe making this situation worse would be fair to the locals or beneficial to the school. The other issue as mentioned above would be lack of the playground or one of the main places we gather during a fire or fire test, Option three would mean we get to keep as much of the space on the grounds as possible to make it easier and quicker to identify and sort all children in case of emergencies.</p> <p>Option three would be out of the way of the main site with its own fire plan not adding potential more students to less space but making more room in each area. Also opening the area for the locals giving them a nicer local area they can use for sports and exercise and meaning they would be less likely to oppose the plan. For any plan chosen the general flow of traffic and road systems need to be looked at and the school also needs more car parking as a necessity which could even be put in front of the new build if we went for idea three. Solving some of the resident parking issues as well as the immense back flow of traffic and blocking of the roads during even a minor school event. some crossings around the school area would also be helpful as I can fear for child safety during busy times , traffic management as well as more way in and round the area and crossings would help this , (the little gate at the end of Urban Ave could be opened and be used as a one way exit possibly as well as making the area a one way flow would help at least making traffic flow slightly easier)</p>	
84	Parent/carer/student	<p>Location (option) 1, in my opinion, is the least desirable option. There'll be a large extension highly visible from the road that appears that it will be the most intrusive and vastly reducing the</p>	

		<p>pupil's recreational space. Location (option) 2 is a little better in that the building appears to be less intrusive to the neighbourhood and the approach into the schools grounds but again encroaches on valuable pupil recreational space. Location (option) 3 seems like the best solution. Yes, the public pathway will need to be rerouted but with careful consideration and window placement on the outer walls, will reduce distraction for and from passing foot traffic whilst keeping pupils safe. Whilst I appreciate some of the field being used to build on, this is a large, ever evolving school that needs to keep and maintain outdoor pupil space within the current grounds and loss of this could cause problems especially if they continue to expand entry numbers. I feel it's a small price to pay for keeping such a good school going forward. This option will also mean the least amount of disruption for all concerned and is right next door to the current school grounds. It will also mean modern up to date sports facilities being available for public use which will allow for the intake of revenue for its upkeep and maintenance. Option 3 also means that school grounds could be locked as access could be from the public footpath.</p>	
85	Parent/carer/student	<p>Please could we register our very strong preference for option 3. Both of the others seem to bring too much in the way of compromise (daylight, recreational space etc etc), and the disruption to pupils, staff and local residents would be considerably higher.</p> <p>Many thanks.</p>	
86	Parent/carer/student	<p>Space is an issue so with the increased number of pupils the only way you can make effective improvements would be to increase the area available. Option 3 is the only one that does this without restrictions.</p>	
87	Parent/carer/student	<p>I think safe outside space is very important and therefore my initial preference would be for Option 3, so that the pupil recreation space will not be impacted, given that the plan is to have more pupils. However, I'd like to better understand the difference in the risk rating between the safeguarding issue due to the public right of way, how does this compare to a safeguarding issue with the bus stops being moved off site?</p> <p>It states that the 'public right of way' will be moved for option 3, where is it now and does the relocation of the right of way cause any concerns? This is not clear in the proposal.</p> <p>Although the local community lose some field space with Option 3, they retain the football pitch and children's play area but gain a Sports Hall, a reasonable compromise in my opinion.</p> <p>Thanks</p>	
88	Parent/carer/student	<p>Location Plan 3 appears the most efficient solution for the school which would provide an overall campus feel to the site with structured development.</p>	
89	Parent		
90	Parent/carer/student	<p>Building on the playground will leave no room for children to enjoy the outside, especially in the summer when all the students want to do is relax and play football. Building on one block on</p>	

		adjacent open space off Welman Way not only makes the school look bigger and appeals to more students, but also increases the satisfaction and morale of current students as, like mentioned earlier, gives them more room to enjoy their breaks, which is absolutely necessary during a full day of work.	
91	Resident /parent/		
92	Parent /		
93	BTHCC Student	I think this will be a good idea to put the new school building on the green space because option 1 and option 2 isn't a good idea because we get less space and if it is on the green land us as students won't be disrupted during lesson or when we are entering/ leaving the school grounds	
94	Parent / Carer / Student	would be more space in school and less crowded as there will be more learning space	
95	Parent / Carer / Student	I think that this is the best one because you are only losing a bit of the playground and it's on our land	
96	Parent / Carer / Student	I think that a benefit from this would be that it will give the students more space to work however it will take up some of the playground	
97	Parent / Carer / Student	i think we should do number 3 as it does not make the school crowded and uneasy to get around	
98	Parent / Carer / Student	I think location 3 because when it's being built it won't disrupt learning as it won't make us move classrooms and we won't hear as much noise when we are working. We then have more space to work.	
99	Parent / Carer / Student	I think location 3 is the best option because options 1 and 2 block off the front and back playgrounds and make entry and exit more difficult, whereas option 3 keeps the playground the same and doesn't change the difficulty of exiting and entering.	
100	School	if you do 1 or 2 there's not a playground anymore so make it on the park which not many people use anyway	
101	Parent / Carer / Student	I think that location two is the best option as it is easier to access from school as you don't have to go through a public pathway. Also as then we won't be taking away any greenery from the local community.	
102	Parent / Carer / Student	I believe that option 3 would be the best for the students and the teachers at the school, as it would not disrupt their work, option 1 would be in the way of the school's parking and be in the way of the playground, so this is why we should get option 3	
103	Parent / Carer / Student	I believe that this is the best choice because it allows the pupils to still have a playground and also will not disturb us as we work during the construction of the building. I also think that it will be better for the school in the long run as it isn't in the way of parking and other things alike.	

104	Parent / Carer / Student	I believe that this is the best choice because it allows the students to still have a playground where they can go and spend time with their friends at break and lunch, also it will not affect the workspace during the construction as its not right outside the classrooms meaning the students will still be able to concentrate on their work and revision. I also think that it will be better for the school in the long run as it isn't in the way of parking and other things alike.	
105	Parent / Carer / Student	It would not disrupt our daily route to lessons. With the construction being carried out, pupils would misbehave and cause delay for the build.	
106	Parent /		
107	Parent / Carer / Student	I think number 2 is a good idea as it gives more room	
108	Parent / Carer / Student	No classrooms are necessary; personally I think the school needs better sports facilities. Mainly in the sports hall. The school should spend the money on the gym because it is currently leaking and may lead to serious injury. School students cannot play sport with buckets in the middle of the pitch. However out of all the models produced i think location 2 is the best. Location 3 will cause annoyance and uncontrollable behaviour.	
109	Parent / Carer / Student	no classrooms are necessary personally i think the school need better and more efficient sports facilities in the sports hall mainly and the money should go on that because there is currently a leak and not fair that PE classes are playing around that with buckets directly in the middle and only a couple classrooms are needed not two blocks of buildings as that is taking up more space and can cause more disruption to students staff and the public but if any location was to be chose i would choose the model 2 as it would probably cause the least annoyance to anyone in or around the school building	
110	Parent /		
111	Parent / Carer / Student	Option 2 would take up to much space and option 3 will disrupt people's space that they like to go on.	
112	Parent / Carer / Student	I choice location one because there would be room in the front playground and there would be room for school staff to park their cars. Also, there would be enough space for parents to park their cars.	
113	Parent / Carer / Student	I think this location would be more efficient regarding getting around school as there would be a lot more space to move around school, as the space in the school is not meeting the amount of people in this school. So, these new buildings would be very helpful, however there could be problems with students who wouldn't like the fact the playground would be destroyed because of the project.	
114	Parent / Carer / Student	Although this plan aims to build on the main playground-limiting students areas of recreational activity- it's practical and easy to get to. In addition, having only one building built will be more cost efficient. Since the building will be separate, current classrooms won't be disturbed (as much) by building works and music often	

		played by PE classes. Personally, I don't think new fitness facilities are needed as we already have fields, courts and gyms- we need more classrooms for each specific subject (e.g more labs for science and more computer rooms for computer science.) But if these facilities are put in place they should be on our premises, but should be reduced in size to allow parking for staff and parking for parents at parents' evenings, as our school has a massive quantity of pupils.	
115	Parent / Carer / Student	Location 1 and 2 will limit the space school students, like me, have to play at lunch and break. Our break times are quite limited now, with 30 minutes at lunch and having no space outside will cause more problems. Break and Lunch are usually the times where students can let themselves free and expand the energy kept inside them during lessons. Having no space to do this will lead to more problems with students (e.g. behavioural issues). Also, currently this school is absolutely filled to its capacity with students, yes a new building, like proposed in option 1 and 2, will lead to more space for student's learning, but it will make the school ground even more filled with buildings. Having it built on the field outside the school grounds would ensure this will not happen.	
116	Parent / Carer / Student	I have picked option 3 because we students have space to play on the playground and if there are buildings there we will have less space to play and talk with our friends. Also, we will probably have to share the back playground with the year above and there won't be a lot of room as there are over 400 students combined in both years. Finally, we have only 15 minutes at break then 30 minutes at lunch and having no space outside will cause a lot of problems (e.g behaviour problems). We will also be next to a park and a lot of people play football at lunch so if was okay with the teachers we could be able to play football at the nets.	
117	Parent / Carer / Student	I like this one because it wouldn't disturb us in the construction time and we would still have the front playground and if it was a school event e.g. parents evening, there would still be space for parents to park their car and because our lunch is short we need people to go and play in the playground so that there is space being freed up in the hall so that we can sit and eat and not have to stand	
118	Parent / Carer / Student	the school will start to become very cramped and very small if you decide to construct option 1 and 2, it will then become harder to get around school without being late to your lesson and then also less light would get in and the classroom will become dark and shadowed by the rest of the school and there would be less space for the staff to park meaning they would be late to teach us in the morning/ there for the school bus won't be able to park in the front playground dropping students off for school and picking them up to go home.	
119	Parent / Carer / Student	I think this build is highly beneficial and necessary for the college with the amount of new students coming in every year.	
120	Parent / Carer / Student	for location 1 it would be the one I'm probably the least enthusiastic about, I think although it would be accessible, it would be limiting the parking space for staff, and the fact that a new sports hall will be installed I think is not needed, we already have the Astroturf, the fitness suite and the field as well as the	

		front playground in terms of basketball e.c.t. I also think, however that build 2 would be the second best if not best model as it would have more light but i think the problem is with the playground, as 53.2% of children in the UK don't get the average amount of exercise needed per day, and the way for children to get that exercise and improve on their social skills is via playing football in the playground... However I am not too pleased by any of these designs as model 3 also is out of the way and also reduces the greenery in the field, also as far as I'm aware there is a small playground there for young children which would need to be removed and also when it rains wouldn't it get muddy and slippery? And don't you think that people are in danger of cars? if i had to choose I would pick number 2 or 3 but i don't think a sports hall is necessary.	
121	school staff/gov ernor	Location 3 appears to be the more favourable proposal if only for the fact that it will not reduce the already sub-standard outside recreational area that our 1000+ pupils are expected to unwind and relax in during breaks and lunches. If the other proposals are enacted then that will more than half the space for pupils, meaning that the whole school will have little more than the equivalent area of maybe 2 tennis courts for pupils to go to during recreational time.	
122	Parent /		
123	Parent /		
124	Parent / Carer / Student	<p>Location 3 will help with the school massively.</p> <p>This is due to the overcrowding of the school. At the moment, there are too many pupils within a small area. This makes getting to lessons more difficult and hard to get too without being pushed or shoved down the corridor as others are trying to get there.</p> <p>Also, there is a lack of space or 'breathing room' within the school as it is rather compact. I heavily believe that expanding outwards will allow the school to be more spread out and not just concentrated within one particular area.</p>	
125	Parent / Carer / Student	<p>Location 3 would help the school hugely. This is due to the massive overcrowding of the school. At this moment in time, there are too many people in too small a space.</p> <p>This would help combat the overpopulation and preserve the playground.</p>	
126	Parent / Carer / Student	I believe, that the proposed facility would be beneficial to the school at location 3 as with the increased amount of pupils coming into our school there is an easily recognizable shortage of space throughout the school. E.g. Corridors. So this new expansion to a school would be openly accepted by all students and staff.	
127	Resident	How are the extra pupils getting to school? Numerous buses already, catchment area too large. If you can't walk to school it's too far away.	
128	Resident	Option 4, stop subscribing new students, shipping them in from all areas when they should be schooled in their own catchment area	

129	Resident / Parent / Carer / Student	<p>I strongly oppose the use of open space off Welman Way. This grassed area is just that, a green area, an open space, an area for local people to make use of. It also helps soak up our ever-increasing rainfall. It also acts as a defence from flooding of the brook and King George's pond when extremely heavy rainfall occurs.</p> <p>Any additional building should be built on the school site.</p> <p>However, I would question the need for the school to extend its PAN. Firstly because there are 2 other Catholic high schools that will be affected by the increase. St Anthony's in Stretford and St Paul's in Wythenshawe are undersubscribed. Adding additional places to another school will not help these schools to maintain sufficient numbers resulting in reduced funding and potential job losses. It will also create further traffic problems at the start and end of the school day and an increase in parking issues. When evening events are held at the school it already causes traffic and parking problems.</p> <p>It appears TBC recently sold off or let, what was a car park at the bottom of Balmoral Rd. I would suggest that this brownfield site is returned to TBC and then used to extend the school if it desperately needs a new sports hall.</p>	
130	Local Resident	<p>I do not agree that the field at Welman Way should be used for a new building no matter what it is for. We should not be building on any green space. It was already made smaller when the estate was built. Building on this land will also make flooding more likely to local residents. Build on the school grounds if you have to build it.</p>	
131	Local Resident	<p>I am a resident opposite the school , and we are already experiencing problems every day with the high volume of traffic to the school , from parents of the school blocking our driveways, to the big buses causing congestion, it is quite frankly a nightmare, and is dangerous at times , the school do not help local residents at all , from having to ring the school several times over the behaviour of bus drivers or parents from the school the roads surrounding the school are not big enough for more traffic , buses do not even need to come down the road ,I fear unless these issues are addressed any expansion to the school should not go ahead .The headmaster of the school needs to liaise with the residents better and there needs to be stricter control and monitoring of the issues outside of the school .</p>	
132	Local Resident	<p>I am concerned as a resident whose daily life is already affected by the inconsiderate and often dangerous a management of students and traffic in and out of the BTH school site via Oakfield Street.</p> <p>This is regarding traffic, illegal parking (during school term times and as a result of the letting of sports facilities during the evening and weekends), unsupervised children arriving at and leaving the site often walking in front of moving cars due to lack of school staff presence at the school gates, litter and safeguarding issues regarding the school site being left unsecured via the entrance at Oakfield Street. I have raised this with the school several times with no response. I am concerned that building on the school site or on currently public land will further affect the daily lives of local residents. I am concerned about Oakfield Street being used as a work access (as when the football pitch was recently replaced), causing damage to the road, pavement and resident cars, alongside additional pollution, litter and noise. I am unhappy with</p>	

		the current lack of care for the local community the school has and fear the suggested expansion will only serve to make this worse. I would at the very least like some reassurance that Oakfield Street would not be used as work access for builders and goods, that there would not be an increase in traffic using this access, that staff would not park on the street (parents already do this daily despite permit parking regulations being in place), that sports lettings (including of new facilities) would come with on school-site parking so as not to add to already illegal parking of footballers on Oakfield Street during evenings and weekends and some more consideration given to the safety of students using this access coming to and from school, particularly if there is an increase to the school PAN alongside the increase to classroom accommodation.	
133	Parent	It is important that the children do not lose their playground area	
134	Parent	good	
135	local resident	The proposal for Location 1 and Location 2 directly impact us as this is the entire view from the back of our house. The proposal for location one and 2 bring the site much closer to our house which will affect our view (large building as per the plans) and will affect light issues with such a large building proposed. These locations directly impact us. The buildings are moving so much closer to our house and the size of them is also very concerning.	
136	Parent/carer/student	I think the expansion is more feasible over 2 blocks for ease of movement. This expansion is much needed.	
137	local resident	We have no issues with options 1 or 2 but would be against option 3. Our house backs on to the open green space and we would like it all to remain open green space. It is well utilised by children playing and dog walkers and we see no reason for it to be reduced in size when there is the option (1 or 2) to build within the existing college campus. We do not want the noise or disruption that will result in the college expansion being built closer to our residence. Neither do we want to lose the lovely green outlook which we currently enjoy.	
138	Parent/carer/student	After attending the consultation at school and talking through the proposals, I think Location 3 on the field adjacent to the school is the best option for development and expansion.	
139	local resident	<p>As a long term local resident, I wish to bring the below thoughts to be considered in the planning process for the proposed development which is for option 3.</p> <p>Firstly it feels like on this planning portal and in the initial plans there is a disingenuous description of the site and the area is not a corner of current field as described. It is a large percentage of the open green space being taken away from the local residents. The area is also described as "Welman Way", the area would have access from Urban Road and not Welman Way, this feels like an attempt to mislead and lessen the blow to local residents as all access will come from Urban Road into the site. This needs to be addressed in future plans and correspondence.</p> <p>I have split up my concerns and comments into two sections</p>	

	<p>below which are "Site" which refers to the proposed area and "Environmental" which only applies to option 3 as it is the removal of current green space without provision of anything new which in the current year and climate is unacceptable.</p> <p>Site Ex gas works site, worried about the impact on this as it hasn't been factored into initial plans.</p> <p>Not school grounds, better options on site with 1 or 2.</p> <p>School has more than enough space with current outside pitches, which it feels like they are using to profit from while taking from the public purse on other projects i.e it feels like the school is having its cake and eating it.</p> <p>Removal of access road to pool for cars and emergency vehicles</p> <p>Even with materials which are said to be sympathetic as it is out of the school grounds building will still dominate a small area</p> <p>Wellman way does not describe the land adequately it is on urban road and the use of Wellman way makes the issue sound less impactful to local residents.</p> <p>Increased noise from school with added classrooms</p> <p>Environmental Other than the goalposts which are a recent addition there will be no green space other than prior mentioned football pitch, we should be preserving green space not taking it away.</p> <p>After taking away green space and paving over it, what are the environmental measures being taken to replace anything taken away?</p> <p>Flooding as land is boggy; there is no explanation of run off from the paved area as land has very poor drainage.</p> <p>Area was under a flood warning in the summer/autumn how will this affect local residents.</p> <p>Loss of green space in an area with very little green space is unacceptable.</p> <p>Land has potential to flood due to brook and dredging the brook could ruin the ecology of the area as talked about with architect representative during consultation, brooks and such have fields next to them for a reason and if the weather patterns of the next few years continue then we will see more instances of local brooks bursting their banks such as Timperley brook and Fairy well brook both flooding local areas in the past 12 months. Does the council really want to deal with a flooded school site in the near future and local council homes would be affected.</p> <p>Loss of habitat to small animals and birds from the brambles.</p>	
--	--	--

140	local resident	<p>I am a local resident and I have some concerns over the proposed development of the field opposite my house which is option 3.</p> <p>I have lived on Urban Drive for over 20 years and would be able to see the development from my house.</p> <p>I have concerns regarding the access for the vehicles working on the site during the construction as the access road is very tight, and it is planned for the access to this to be restricted or removed for the public.</p> <p>In an area with already dwindling green space, the council should have a responsibility to protect what little remaining green space that is available, which it is categorically failing the local residents in its responsibility to do so with recent plans including this one.</p> <p>The football pitch is already a reduced size pitch, however at the consultation we could not be told what size it actually was and when pushed the architects and representatives from the school misled us on the size of the proposed development. The current football pitch on the site already gets waterlogged and gets churned up by current activity, this provides less than usable green space to the public as it is just mud for people whom do not wish to play football, as it only seems like the school cares about football as seen with their outdoor pitches. The removal of at least half of the usable space on the field will reduce the availability of green space not dedicated to football as half of Stamford park already used to have pitches on it.</p> <p>With the loss of an area of green space this size there are no building materials which would soften the sports hall's silhouette and it feels like it will be an imposing structure which has little place off the school's grounds as this land is not owned by the school and never has been.</p> <p>It has been proposed that the sports hall will be available to local residents, the town already has a Leisure Centre nearby which is already in use by the school and local residents would a new sports hall off the School site be in the best interests for the local area or would it just be a vanity project for the school.</p> <p>Over the past 24 months there have been increased warnings for flooding in the area and the proposed site is in the middle of an area next to the brook which was considered "red" by the met office for flooding over the last summer's heavy rains, is there anything that will be done to protect the local residents from this flooding if the area is being paved.</p> <p>If option 1 is to be built on the school grounds on the existing recreation area, would this impact students recreational time as the surely the students would be allowed to use the recreational area provided by a sports hall in the place of the outside area or is the school more interested in a potential increase of car parking.</p>	
-----	----------------	--	--