

# Integrated Assessment of the Draft Trafford Local Plan

## Appendix C: Policies Assessment

---

**Prepared For: Trafford Council**

Document Reference: 10993.005

April 2025

Version 4.0

## Tables

Table 1 Symbols and Definitions for IA Framework .....	5
Table 2 Vision for Trafford .....	6
Table 3 Policy ST1: Core Growth Area (Trafford).....	9
Table 4 Policy ST2: Inner Areas (Trafford).....	12
Table 5 Policy ST3: Southern Areas (Trafford) .....	15
Table 6 Policy ST4: Sustainable Development .....	18
Table 7 Policy ST5: Climate Change .....	22
Table 8 Policy RT1: Carbon and Energy (includes Policies RT1N, RT1S, RT1C and RT1W) .....	25
Table 9 Policy RT2: Decentralised Energy Generation (includes Policies RT2N, RT2S, RT2C and RT2W) .....	28
Table 10 Policy RT3: Overheating and the Urban Heat Island .....	31
Table 11 Policy RT4: Sustainable Retrofitting .....	34
Table 12 Policy RT5: Circular Economy .....	37
Table 13 Policy R1: Housing Delivery.....	40
Table 14 Policy R2: Protecting Existing Homes .....	46
Table 15 Policy R3: Affordable and Social Housing (including Policies R3N, R3S, R3C, R3W) .....	49
Table 16 Policy R4: Size and Type of New Homes (including Policy R4N) .....	52
Table 17 Policy R5: Housing Density.....	56
Table 18 Policy R6: Older Persons Accommodation.....	60
Table 19 Policy R7: Adaptable and Accessible Housing .....	62
Table 20 Policy R8: Homes for Children and Care Experienced Young People .....	64
Table 21 Policy R9: Other Types of Homes .....	66
Table 22 Policy R10: Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation .....	68
Table 23 Policy R11: Self-Build and Custom Housing.....	71
Table 24 Policy EJ1: Employment Land Requirements .....	73
Table 25 Policy EJ2: Trafford Park .....	78
Table 26 Policy EJ3: Industry and Warehousing (including Policies EJ3N, EJ3S and EJC).....	83
Table 27 Policy EJ4: Offices (including Policies EJ4N, EJ4S and EJ4C).....	88
Table 28 Policy EJ5: Safeguarding Trafford's Employment Land.....	93
Table 29 Policy EJ6: Data and Energy Storage.....	97
Table 30 Policy EJ7: Rural Economy.....	100
Table 31 Policy TM1: Walking Wheeling and Cycling (including Policies TM1N, TM1S, TM1C and TM1W) .....	103
Table 32 Policy TM2: Public Transport .....	106
Table 33 Policy TM3: Car Clubs .....	110
Table 34 Policy TM4: Mobility Hubs.....	113
Table 35 Policy TM5: Local Highway Network .....	116
Table 36 Policy TM6: The A56 (including Policies TM6N, TM6C and TM6S) .....	121

Table 37 Policy TM7: Freight.....	124
Table 38 Policy TM8: Manchester Ship Canal .....	127
Table 39 Policy TM9: Potential Future Rail Route .....	130
Table 40 Policy TM10: Parking Standards.....	134
Table 41 Policy TM11: Lorry Management.....	137
Table 42 Policy TM12: Park and Ride .....	139
Table 43 Policy TM13: Crowd Movement and Routes .....	143
Table 44 Policy TC1: Hierarchy of Centres.....	145
Table 45 Policy TC2: Role and Function of Centres .....	148
Table 46 Policy TC3: Out of Centre Retail and Leisure.....	151
Table 47 Policy GI1: Landscape Character Areas .....	154
Table 48 Policy GI2: Green Infrastructure Network.....	156
Table 49 Policy GI3: Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area (NIA).....	160
Table 50 Policy GI4: Mersey Valley (including Policies GI4, GIC and GIW) .....	164
Table 51 Policy GI5: Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas (including Policies GI5, GI5S, GI5C and GI5W) .....	168
Table 52 Policy GI6: Green Infrastructure in New Developments .....	172
Table 53 Policy GI7: Trees, Woodland and Hedgerows.....	176
Table 54 Policy GI8: Protection of Locally Designated Sites and Natural Environment Assets .....	179
Table 55 Policy GI9: Biodiversity Opportunity Areas (including Policies GI9, GI9S, GI9C and GI9W) .....	183
Table 56 Policy GI10: Building Biodiversity into New Developments .....	187
Table 57 Policy OS1: Open Space (including Policies OS1N, OS1S, OS1C, OS1W).....	191
Table 58 Policy OS2: Outdoor Sports and Recreation Facilities and Provision.....	195
Table 59 Policy OS3: Indoor Sports and Recreation Provision .....	198
Table 60 Policy OS4: Loss of Sport and Recreation Land or Facilities .....	201
Table 61 Policy OS5: Allotments and Growing Space.....	204
Table 62 Policy OS6: Cemeteries and Burial Grounds .....	207
Table 63 Policy WA1: Managing Flood Risk.....	211
Table 64 Policy WA2: Sustainable Drainage – Surface and Foul Water.....	213
Table 65 Policy WA3: Flood Storage Areas .....	215
Table 66 Policy WA4: Water Efficiency .....	217
Table 67 Policy CT1: Protecting Existing Community and Social Facilities .....	219
Table 68 Policy CT2: Loss of Community Facilities .....	222
Table 69 Policy CT3: New Community and Social Facilities .....	225
Table 70 Policy CT4: Fast Food .....	228
Table 71 Policy CT5: Social Value in New Developments.....	230
Table 72 Policy BE1: Design .....	232
Table 73 Policy BE2: Tall Buildings.....	235
Table 74 Policy BE3: Elevated Green Spaces.....	238
Table 75 Policy BE4: Advertisements and Signage .....	241
Table 76 Policy BE5: Heritage Assets .....	244

Table 77 Policy BE6: Designated Heritage Assets.....	247
Table 78 Policy BE7: Non-designated Heritage Assets.....	250
Table 79 Policy BE8: Noise and Vibration .....	253
Table 80 Policy BE9: Land Contamination and Remediation .....	255
Table 81 Policy BE10: Digital Connectivity .....	257
Table 82 Policy CL1: Protecting and Supporting Existing Cultural, Leisure and Tourism Assets (Including Policy CL1N) .....	260
Table 83 Policy CL2: New Culture, Leisure and Tourism Developments (Including Policy CL2N) .....	263
Table 84 Policy IP1: Infrastructure Delivery and Planning Obligations (including Policy IP1N) .....	266
Table 85 Policy IP2: Managing and Monitoring Planning Obligations .....	270

## Integrated Assessment Framework

- 1.1 As described in the Scoping Report, the framework contains a series of objectives and assessment criteria. These are presented in the form of guide questions developed to reflect the social, economic and environmental issues that may affect (or be affected by) the Local Plan and the objectives contained within other plans and programmes. The assessment of the effects of proposed policies is presented in a matrix format (Tables 2 – 84), where the policies are considered against the IA Objectives. The symbols and definitions for the IA Framework are set out in Table 1 below.

*Table 1 Symbols and Definitions for IA Framework*

Symbol	Definition
++	Major positive effect
+	Positive effect
N	Neutral effect
-	Minor negative effect
--	Major negative effect
U	Unknown at this stage

Table 2 Vision for Trafford

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The Vision envisages Trafford will have met its housing requirement and addressed its acute need for affordable housing to ensure that people have the types of homes that they both want and need. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The Vision has a cross-cutting approach to promoting equality of opportunity and reducing levels of deprivation and disparity. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The Vision supports people's wellbeing and healthy lives by reducing physical and mental health inequalities. The Vision also supports recreation and leisure facilities alongside social infrastructure. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The Vision sets out Trafford will be a destination for investment, have opportunities for sustainable businesses that create a range of jobs, have a high performing local economy and have successful town centres. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The Vision supports sustainable transport, car free travel, reducing the need to travel. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	The Vision includes successful education, social infrastructure and providing young people with opportunities to grow and prosper. It also includes successful town centres and neighbourhoods. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	The Vision says high quality design and place-making will be part of all developments and Trafford will have maximised its existing assets by recognising the role that its historic and built environment play in our lives. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The Vision includes that Trafford will have maximised its existing assets by recognising the role that its historic and built environment play in our lives. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The Vision makes no specific reference to air quality but includes strategy that will reduce emissions such as sustainable transport and reducing the need to travel. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	The Vision makes no specific reference to land and soils but includes protecting natural assets for future generations. A neutral effect is envisaged.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	N	N	N	The Vision makes no specific reference to water resources but includes protecting natural assets for future generations. A neutral effect is envisaged.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	The Vision says biodiversity will be enhanced and its natural assets protected for future generations, ensuring green and blue spaces offer opportunities for all. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	The Vision makes no specific reference to sustainable consumption and waste but there are no negative effects by the Vision. A neutral effect is envisaged.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The Vision includes addressing the climate crisis with net zero carbon targets for new development by 2028 and carbon neutrality across the borough by 2038. Also reducing the need to travel is included. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	The Vision makes no specific reference to sustainable flood risk but there are no negative effects anticipated on this objective from the Vision. A neutral effect is envisaged.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The Vision makes specific reference to addressing climate change locally and delivering resilient and well-adapted sustainable communities. The Vision is compatible with this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



Table 3 Policy ST1: Core Growth Area (Trafford)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The Policy promotes residential development to support employment development.	Ensure Local Plan provides for the housing need of the area.
2	+	+	+	Providing more employment opportunities will create economic growth, reduce unemployment and deprivation.	Training and support programmes to local residences will reduce unemployment and improve access to jobs.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and supporting health and wellbeing and reducing health inequalities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	The Policy promotes employment led development within the Core Growth Area.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and ensuring sufficient coverage and capacity of sustainable transport and utilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The Policy supports mixed development with ancillary uses, including opportunity for community infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	N	N	N	Change to the character will likely occur at the key growth locations but the nature of the change is unknown at this point. Other planning policy exists to protect townscape quality.	Ensure other Local Plan policies protect townscape quality.
8	N	N	N	Change to the character will likely occur at the key growth locations but the nature of the change is unknown at this point. Other planning policy exists to protect the historic environment.	Ensure other Local Plan policies protect the historic environment.
9	N	N	N	Further development at the key growth locations will likely increase the number of trips to the area, which could include cars. However, other planning policy exists to promote sustainable modes of transport.	Ensure other Local Plan policies promote sustainable transport.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting land and soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	Further development at the key growth locations could increase pressures on biodiversity. However, other planning policy exists to protect and enhance biodiversity.	Ensure other Local Plan policies protect and enhance biodiversity.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and supporting the sustainable consumption of resources and reducing waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	U	U	U	Further development at the key growth locations could increase greenhouse gas emissions. However, other planning policy exists to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Ensure other Local Plan policies include measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
15	U	U	U	<p>The policy promotes development at key growth locations but does not identify specific sites and so it is not possible to determine the effect on flood risk.</p> <p>Planning policy exists to ensure that flood risk is not worsened for new and existing residents.</p>	Ensure other Local Plan policies include protection for flood risk.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and ensuring communities and infrastructure are resilient to climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 4 Policy ST2: Inner Areas (Trafford)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The Policy supports residential development that is well integrated within existing communities.	Ensure the Local Plan provides for the housing need of the area.
2	+	+	+	The policy supports development which facilitates regeneration of the area.	Opportunities for minority groups are especially important as the Inner Area contains a significant proportion of people who are black and minority ethnic (BME). New development must promote BME inclusivity.
3	+	+	+	Supporting development which enhances the place and facilitates regeneration will likely have a positive effect on health and wellbeing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
4	+	+	+	Commercial office space is proposed in the Civic Quarter Area Action Plan, which is in the Inner Areas.	The policy could be more explicit about supporting employment development, for example Civic Quarter Area Action Plan.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and ensuring sufficient coverage and capacity of sustainable transport and utilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and ensuring access to community infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	Change to the character will likely occur at the key growth locations but the nature of the change is unknown at this point. Other planning policy exists to protect townscape quality.	Ensure other Local Plan policies protect townscape quality.
8	N	N	N	Change to the character of the Inner Area will likely occur but the nature of the change is unknown at this point. Other planning policy exists to protect the historic environment.	Ensure other Local Plan policies protect the historic environment.
9	N	N	N	Further development in the Inner Area will likely increase the number of trips to the area, which could include cars. However, other planning policy exists to promote sustainable modes of transport.	Ensure other Local Plan policies promote sustainable transport.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting land and soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	Further development in the Inner Area could increase pressures on biodiversity. However, other planning policy exists to protect and enhance biodiversity.	Ensure other Local Plan policies protect and enhance biodiversity.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and supporting the sustainable consumption of resources and reducing waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	Further development in the Inner Area could increase greenhouse gas emissions. However, other planning policy exists to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Ensure other Local Plan policies include measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
15	U	U	U	<p>The policy promotes development in the Inner Area but does not identify specific sites and so it is not possible to determine the effect on flood risk.</p> <p>Planning policy exists to ensure that flood risk is not worsened for new and existing residents.</p>	Ensure other Local Plan policies include protection for flood risk.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and ensuring communities and infrastructure are resilient to climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 5 Policy ST3: Southern Areas (Trafford)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	The Policy does not have any specifics on housing development. Development in the Southern Area will be predominantly residential, including PfE allocations Timperley Wedge (Davenport Green) and New Carrington that will deliver large numbers of new dwellings.	The policy could be more explicit about supporting housing growth.
2	+	+	+	Promoting town centres and other assets including education and training facilities will help reduce levels of deprivation.  Parts of the area fall within the most deprived 10% in England; deprivation will need to be addressed for the wellbeing of the community and to provide greater economic opportunity.	No mitigation or enhancement proposed.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and supporting health and wellbeing and reducing health inequalities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The policy protects against development compromising the economic competitiveness of the area. The policy also promotes the role of town centres.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and ensuring sufficient coverage and capacity of sustainable transport and utilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	The policy supports town centres and other assets including education and training facilities. This supports access to community infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	This is an area where development and change may occur in the future. The Policy protects against development compromising distinctive local neighbourhood characteristics and/or environmental attractiveness. This will help conserving townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	This is an area where development and change may occur in the future. The Policy protects against development compromising distinctive local neighbourhood characteristics and/or environmental attractiveness. This will help conserving the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	The policy supports development making the most use of previously developed land	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving and enhancing biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and supporting the sustainable consumption of resources and reducing waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	Making the most use of previously developed land and promoting the role of town centres has the potential to reduce carbon emissions by promoting sustainable locations for development.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	U	U	U	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and ensuring communities and infrastructure are resilient to climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 6 Policy ST4: Sustainable Development*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The proposed policy sets out an overall positive approach that would support environmental, economic and social objectives. Whilst there is the potential for risk where no policy exists or the policy is out-of-date, and development would be dealt with in accordance with the NPPF, the general approach is positive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 7 Policy ST5: Climate Change*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on housing development this effect will not outweigh the benefits of contributing towards net zero ambitions and mitigating against the impacts of climate change. The effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	No significant effect on the promotion of equality and reduction of deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	+	+	Effects of climate change will have a detrimental effect on the health of communities. This policy expects development to demonstrate how climate change has been considered in design of the development and what adaption measures and mitigation measures have been put in place. This will help to ensure new development is resilient to the effects of climate change which will have a positive medium and long-term effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on employment development this effect will not outweigh the benefits of contributing towards net zero ambitions and mitigating against the impacts of climate change locally. The effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	No significant effect on the promotion of sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	N	N	N	No significant effect on the promotion of sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	No significant effect on the promotion of sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	U	U	U	It is possible that some adaptations for climate change could have a negative effect on a heritage asset. Without full details of the adaptations the effect is unknown at this stage.	Legislation and other planning policy exist to ensure no unjustified harm to heritage assets.
9	N	N	N	No significant effect on air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	No significant effect on land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	Access to water resources is an important aspect of adapting and mitigating the future impacts of climate change when water may become scarcer. Whilst it is not specifically referenced in the policy these adaptations will have a positive effect on this objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	The policy does not include how to adapt and mitigate climate change impacts on the natural environment and just focuses on new development. However, there should be no negative effects of the policy on biodiversity and the effect is neutral.	Consider how the Local Plan can protect the natural environment in the face of climate change.
13	N	N	N	No significant effect on sustainable consumption of resources or the waste hierarchy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	++	++	++	The policy supports development which contributes towards net zero ambitions and mitigates against the impacts of climate change locally. Also new development is expected to demonstrate how climate change has been considered in the design. This will have a positive effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	++	++	++	It is expected that climate change will increase instances of flooding. Supporting development which contributes towards net zero ambitions and mitigates against the impacts of climate change locally will have a positive effect on reducing flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	++	++	++	The policy supports mitigating against the effects of climate change, which will improve the resilience of communities, development and infrastructure.	Add that development proposals which contribute to the ability to adapt to climate change risks will be supported.



*Table 8 Policy RT1: Carbon and Energy (includes Policies RT1N, RT1S, RT1C and RT1W)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on housing development it is not considered that this effect will outweigh the benefits of contributing towards net zero ambitions and mitigating against the impacts of climate change. The effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	No significant effect on the promotion of equality and reduction of deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	No significant effect on the health of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on economic development it is not considered that this outweighs the positive effect that a carbon neutral development can have on the promotion of an economic area. The effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	No significant effect on sustainable transport and utilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place constraints on development of new services and facilities there are many benefits to being carbon neutral which will counter-balance initial constraints; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	U	U	U	The development of EV charging hubs, solar PV and retrofitting buildings has the potential to impact on the landscape and townscape of the borough. The exact impact of a scheme will depend on the site's location. Other planning policy exists to protect townscape and landscape.	Ensure other Local Plan policies protect townscape and landscape quality.
8	U	U	U	The development of EV charging hubs, solar PV and retrofitting buildings has the potential to impact on the historic environment of the borough. The exact impact of a scheme will depend on the site's location. Other planning policy exists to protect the historic environment.	Ensure other Local Plan policies protect townscape and landscape quality.
9	N	N	+	Creating carbon neutral developments will have a positive impact on the air quality in Trafford, although it will be some time before the effect is felt.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	This policy in itself has no significant impact on the reduction of land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	This policy in itself has no significant impact on the improvement of water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	This policy in itself has no significant impact on the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	Low carbon and renewable energy technology could include using waste for energy. However, as the policy is non-specific on type of technology there is limited impact from this policy on the reduction of waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	++	++	The policy supports reducing carbon emissions. The policy scores positively against the objective although the effects will not be felt immediately as development will take time to come forward.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	It is expected that climate change will increase instances of flooding. Supporting the reduction of carbon emissions will have a positive effect on reducing flood risk although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The policy does not specifically affect the resilience of the community against the impacts of climate change. However, by reducing carbon emissions it is hoped that the impacts of climate change would be reduced although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 9 Policy RT2: Decentralised Energy Generation (includes Policies RT2N, RT2S, RT2C and RT2W)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on housing development it is not considered that this effect will outweigh the benefits of contributing towards net zero ambitions and mitigating against the impacts of climate change; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	No significant effect on the promotion of equality and reduction of deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	No significant effect on the health of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on economic development it is not considered that this outweighs the positive effect that a decentralised energy network can have on the promotion of an economic area; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	No significant effect on sustainable transport and utilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place constraints on development of new services and facilities there are many benefits to decentralised networks which will counter-balance initial constraints; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	N	N	N	No significant effect on townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	No significant effect on the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	+	Connecting development to decentralised energy networks will have a positive impact on the air quality in Trafford, although it will be some time before the effect is felt.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the reduction of land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the improvement of water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the consumption of resources and the reduction of waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	++	++	The promotion of decentralised heat networks will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions. The policy scores positively against the objective although the effects will not be felt immediately as development will take time to come forward.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	+	+	+	It is expected that climate change will increase instances of flooding. The promotion of decentralised heat networks will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The promotion of decentralised heat networks will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions and the effects of climate change although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 10 Policy RT3: Overheating and the Urban Heat Island*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on housing development it is not considered that this effect will outweigh the benefits of contributing towards net zero ambitions and mitigating against the impacts of climate change; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	No significant effect on the promotion of equality and reduction of deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Minimising overheating risks and integration of green infrastructure will have a positive effect on health and wellbeing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on economic development it is not considered that this outweighs the positive effect that minimising overheating can have on the promotion of an economic area; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	No significant effect on sustainable transport and utilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place constraints on development of new services and facilities there are many benefits to minimising urban overheating which will counter-balance initial constraints; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	N	N	N	No significant effect on townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	No significant effect on the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	+	Integration of green infrastructure to provide cooling will have a positive impact on the air quality in Trafford, although it will be some time before the effect is felt.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the reduction of land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the improvement of water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the consumption of resources and the reduction of waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	+	+	The reduction of reliance on active cooling systems will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions. The policy scores positively against the objective although the effects will not be felt immediately as development will take time to come forward.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	+	+	+	It is expected that climate change will increase instances of flooding. The reduction of reliance on active cooling systems will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	++	++	++	The minimisation of overheating risks, incorporation of effective cooling methods and integration of green infrastructure to provide cooling will help ensure resilience against the effects of climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 11 Policy RT4: Sustainable Retrofitting

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The policy supports improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock by supporting retrofitting of existing buildings.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The retrofitting of existing buildings to secure energy efficiency improvements could support reducing fuel poverty.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting energy efficient improvements will help keep people warm and have positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	Improvements in the energy efficiency by supporting retrofitting can have a positive effect on the promotion of an economic area.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	No significant effect on sustainable transport and utilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	No significant effect on improving accessibility to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	++	++	++	The protection of heritage assets from harm caused by retrofitting will positively contribute to the townscape character and built environment, of which the historic environment is an important part.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	++	++	++	The proposed policy directly supports this IA Objective by protecting heritage assets from harm caused by retrofitting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	+	Supporting retrofitting where it secures energy efficiency improvements will have a positive impact on the air quality in Trafford, although it will be some time before the effect is felt.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	-	-	-	The policy may place constraints on encouraging the redevelopment of derelict buildings by requiring full justification for demolition followed by reconstruction and diverting at least 85% of waste from landfill.	Include ways on how to justify demolition and reconstruction. The provision of improved energy efficient housing could be included as a justification.
11	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the improvement of water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	+	+	+	The policy supports the efficient use of resources when demolishing and reconstructing a building. Movement up the waste hierarchy is promoted by requiring demolition of buildings to divert at least 85% of waste from landfills.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	+	+	+	The policy supports improvements to the energy efficiency of buildings, which will help reduce per capita greenhouse emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	It is expected that climate change will increase instances of flooding. The support for energy efficient improvements to buildings will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The support for energy efficient improvements to buildings will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions and the effects of climate change although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 12 Policy RT5: Circular Economy

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on housing development it is not considered that this effect will outweigh the benefits of resource efficiency; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	New development following circular economy principles includes employing more local people. This will have a positive effect on equality and deprivation.	The policy could be more explicit in supporting green jobs for local people.
3	N	N	N	No significant effect on the health of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on employment development it is not considered that this effect will outweigh the benefits of resource efficiency; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	New development following circular economy principles includes travelling less distance for materials. This will have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel.	The policy could be more explicit in supporting travelling less distances.
6	N	N	N	Whilst this policy may place further constraints on development of new services and facilities it is not considered that this effect will outweigh the benefits of resource efficiency; the effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	N	N	N	No significant effect on landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	No significant effect on the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on conserving land and reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the improvement of water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	This policy has no significant impact on the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	++	++	++	The policy supports the sustainable use of resources and moving up the waste hierarchy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The policy supports using resources efficiently and following circular economy principles, which will help reduce per capita greenhouse emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	+	+	+	It is expected that climate change will increase instances of flooding. The support for using resources efficiently and following circular economy principles will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The support for using resources efficiently and following circular economy principles will contribute towards reducing carbon emissions and the effects of climate change although it is acknowledged that global emissions will also have to be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 13 Policy R1: Housing Delivery

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	The proposed policy sets out that Trafford will deliver 22,443 net additional dwellings by 2042 to meet projected housing needs. The policy also supports housing in sustainable locations.	No mitigation or enhancement identified. Applying this policy alongside those relating to tenure, size and type will ensure the housing needs of the community are met.
2	N	+	+	The delivery of the housing requirement for Trafford in sustainable locations close to facilities will contribute towards reducing deprivation. The effects would not be immediate but would in time be positive and would have cumulative long-term positive effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports the highest density housing in the most sustainable locations close to services and facilities. This will help ensure access to healthcare facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
4	+	+	+	New housing developments facilitate economic growth and some job creation, particularly during construction. Sufficient housing is required to support a strong economy in the area through, for example, providing employees and a community to spend in local retail, services and facilities. As the housing delivery is phased, the positive effects of construction would last throughout the plan period.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The policy supports housing in the highest density housing in the most sustainable locations close to services and facilities as well as public transport and active travel links. This will contribute to reducing the need to travel promote sustainable modes of transport. The effects would be local in nature.	Specific reference could also be made to the requirement for new housing to be well served by utilities and digital infrastructure.
6	+	+	+	The policy supports higher density housing in the most sustainable locations close to services and facilities as well as public transport and active travel links. This will contribute to ensuring people are adequately served by social infrastructure and providing opportunities for active travel to meet people's needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	The support for reuse of previously developed land would have a positive impact on the landscape, townscape, and the character of the borough. The policy says development on private gardens needs to pay due regard to local character, so this also has a positive effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The support for housing on previously developed land the efficient use of land may have a positive impact on the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	-	-	-	The quantity of new residential development would be expected to have a detrimental impact on the local air quality to some extent by the likely increase of traffic on roads.	The cumulative impact of applying other policies such as those relating to design, green infrastructure and sustainable transport links would help to avoid or mitigate negative effects to some extent.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	-	-	-	The proposed policy states the intention to make use of previously developed land and efficient use of land. However, the provision of new dwellings could result in a net loss of greenfield land and vegetation cover, including ecologically important soils. The effect would be local and permanent.	The proposed policy could specifically mention that best and most versatile agricultural land should be protected from inappropriate development. Consideration could also be given to avoid risk of soil contamination during construction phase.
11	U	U	U	The development of new dwellings could be expected to result in the loss or reduction of natural water storage capacity and demand on water resources. However, development schemes can be an opportunity to improve drainage systems and/or install new infrastructure that are beneficial to the wider area. At this point, the potential effect is unknown.	Other policies relate to water management and quality and should be implemented alongside the delivery of new development.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	U	U	U	The proposed policy prioritises brownfield development. However, it is likely that some of the residential development will be delivered on greenfield land, which may have an impact on biodiversity. Conversely, not all greenfield land has biodiversity interest and development offers the opportunity for biodiversity net gain. At this point, the potential effect is unknown.	Apply other plan policy to ensure that harm is avoided or mitigated.
13	-	-	-	The provision of new dwellings would be likely to have a negative effect on the waste generated within the borough across the plan period. The effect would be long lasting and local in its nature.	Other policies within the local plan cover provision of waste recycling facilities and should be implemented alongside the delivery of new development.
14	-	-	-	There will be opportunity to use sustainable construction techniques and, over time, more low carbon technologies will become more available. However, the provision of new dwellings would be expected to increase carbon emissions during construction, occupation and movement of residents. The negative effect would be national in its scale and long lasting.	Apply other plan policies to ensure that harm is avoided or mitigated.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	N	N	N	The policy seeks to ensure housing development in areas at lowest risk of flooding. The development of new dwellings could be expected to reduce natural water storage capacity and could result in minor negative impact on flood risk. However, development schemes can be an opportunity to improve drainage systems and/or install new infrastructure that are beneficial to the wider area. Overall, the effect is expected to be neutral.	Apply other plan policies to ensure that harm is avoided or mitigated.
16	N	N	N	The policy does not deal with specific design considerations that could ensure resilience to effects of climate change. The effect of the policy is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 14 Policy R2: Protecting Existing Homes*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The policy resists the net loss of housing, which will help meet existing and projected housing needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs and will contribute towards reducing deprivation. The effects would not be immediate but would in time be positive and would have cumulative long-term positive effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	No significant effect on the health of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs. Sufficient housing is required to support a strong economy in the area through, for example, providing employees and a community to spend in local retail, services and facilities. There could be a slight negative effect on the objective by resisting changes of use from residential to employment uses but this will be outweighed by the positive effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	No significant effect on provision of sustainable transport and utilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	-	-	-	There could be a slight negative effect on the objective by resisting changes of use from residential to social infrastructure uses such as shops and healthcare services. This effect would be local.	Include a provision to support a change of use to social infrastructure where it is demonstrated to be needed.
7	+	+	+	The policy resists the loss of housing and likely to help conserve local character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The policy resists the loss of housing and likely to help conserve local character and any nearby historic assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs. This will help reduce the need for new housing developments, which could generate more motor vehicle traffic.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs. This will help reduce the need for new housing developments, which could put less pressure on developing greenfield sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs. This will help reduce the need for new housing developments, which could help reduce demand on water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	+	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs. This will help reduce the need for new housing developments, which could help reduce development in ecologically sensitive sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	+	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs. This will help reduce the need for new housing developments, which could help reduce the consumption of resources and generation of waste in construction of new housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs. This will help reduce the need for new housing developments, which could help limit carbon emissions during construction of new dwellings.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	Resisting the net loss of housing will help meet housing needs. This will help reduce the need for new housing developments, which could help limit the reduction of natural water storage capacity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	No significant effect on resilience to the effects of climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



*Table 15 Policy R3: Affordable and Social Housing (including Policies R3N, R3S, R3C, R3W)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	<p>The policy requires (where viable) different levels of affordable housing based on the Locality.</p> <p>Furthermore, the policy stipulates that 60% of those dwellings should be rented and 40% affordable homes ownership. The policy is highly compatible with the IA Objective by contributing to meeting housing needs. The effects will be long lasting and of a regional scale.</p>	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	+	++	<p>The policy will help to provide a supply of affordable housing in the least affordable Local Authorities in Greater Manchester. The requirement that affordable housing being evenly distributed is welcomed as this will ensure a mixed and balanced community. This is highly compatible with the aim of reducing deprivation. The effects would be felt within the Trafford area and would take time to be delivered.</p>	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	<p>The provision of housing to meet local needs will have a positive effect on health. The effects would be local in scale and would be long lasting.</p>	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
4	N	N	N	Whilst there are some connections between affordable housing delivery and economic growth, the links in the case of this policy and IA Objective are not significant enough and it has been assessed as neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and landscape, townscape and character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and conserving land and soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and the natural environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and resource consumption.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of affordable housing and climate change resilience.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 16 Policy R4: Size and Type of New Homes (including Policy R4N)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	The proposed policy encourages mixed residential development of different types and sizes and does not support the over-concentration of one type of dwelling. The creation of mixed developments will provide greater opportunity for different members of the community to have a home for their needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	++	++	++	The policy will help meet different types of housing needs for different types of people, which will help reduce deprivation and allow for people to enter the housing market.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The provision of housing to meet local needs for different people will have a positive effect on health. The effects would be local in scale and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	Whilst there are some connections between housing mix and economic growth, the links in the case of this policy and IA Objective are not significant enough and so it has been assessed as neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between delivery of a wide housing mix and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of a wide housing mix and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	N	N	N	Housing development will inevitably have an impact on the character of an area, however this policy only sets out the approach to dwelling size, type and tenure. This would not be harmful to the landscape, townscape or character and should result in a neutral effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	Housing development may have an impact on historic environment; however this policy only sets out the approach to dwelling size, type and tenure. This would not be harmful to the historic environment and should result in a neutral effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between the delivery of a wide housing mix and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	Whilst housing development can require development on greenfield land, this policy relates to the kind of housing delivered, not the principle of housing or its location. The effect of the policy on the IA Objective is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	The proposed policy specifically relates to the delivery of certain sizes, types and tenures of housing and the facilities that support them and not to specific sites or quantum of development. There is no link between this policy and the objective to protect water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	The proposed policy specifically relates to the delivery of certain sizes, types and tenures of housing and the facilities that support them and not to specific sites or quantum of development. There is no link between this policy and the objective to protect biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	The proposed policy specifically relates to the delivery of certain sizes, types and tenures of housing and the facilities that support them and not to specific sites or quantum of development. There is no link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	The proposed policy specifically relates to the delivery of certain sizes, types and tenures of housing and the facilities that support them and not to specific sites or quantum of development. There is no link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	The proposed policy specifically relates to the delivery of certain sizes, types and tenures of housing and the facilities that support them and not to specific sites or quantum of development. There is no link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	N	N	N	The proposed policy specifically relates to the delivery of certain sizes, types and tenures of housing and the facilities that support them and not to specific sites or quantum of development. There is no link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 17 Policy R5: Housing Density

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The policy supports PfE Policy JP-H4 Density of New Housing by giving local requirements for Trafford. The policy supports higher density of housing in designated centres, giving greater access to services.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	+	+	The delivery of higher density housing in designated centres close to facilities will contribute towards reducing deprivation. The effects would not be immediate but would in time be positive and would have cumulative long-term positive effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports the highest density housing in designated centres, which will be close to services and facilities. This will help ensure access to healthcare facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	Higher density housing near designated centres will facilitate economic growth and some job creation. Sufficient housing is required in designated centres to support a strong economy in the area through, for example, providing employees and a community to spend in local retail, services and facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	+	+	+	The policy supports the highest density housing in designated centres, which will be close to services and facilities. This will contribute to reducing the need to travel promote sustainable modes of transport. The effects would be local in nature.	Specific reference could also be made to the requirement for new housing to be well served by utilities and digital infrastructure.
6	+	+	+	The policy supports the highest density housing in designated centres, which will be close to services and facilities. This will contribute to ensuring people are adequately served by social infrastructure to meet people's needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	U	U	U	The policy supports higher density housing in designated centres. This will have an impact on the townscape of these centres but the type of impact cannot be determined at this stage because it depends on the design of the housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	U	U	U	The policy supports higher density housing in designated centres. This will have an impact on the heritage assets of these centres but the type of impact cannot be determined at this stage because it depends on the design of the housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	+	+	+	The policy supports the highest density housing in designated centres, which will be close to services and facilities. This will contribute to reducing the need to travel and promote sustainable modes of transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	Focusing higher density housing in designated centres will reduce the amount of land that needs to be used for development, assisting in the protection of greenfield and Green Belt land.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	Focusing higher density housing in designated centres will reduce the amount of greenfield land that needs to be used for development, which is likely to be more ecologically sensitive.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the consumption of resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	+	+	+	The policy supports the highest density housing in designated centres, which will be close to services and facilities. This will contribute to reducing the need to travel promote sustainable modes of transport. This will help reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resilience to effects of climate change. The effect of the policy is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 18 Policy R6: Older Persons Accommodation*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will help reduce deprivation and allow for more people to enter the housing market.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will have a positive effect on health. The effects would be local in scale and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 19 Policy R7: Adaptable and Accessible Housing*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will help reduce deprivation and allow for more people to enter the housing market.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will have a positive effect on health. The effects would be local in scale and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 20 Policy R8: Homes for Children and Care Experienced Young People*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will help reduce deprivation and allow for more people to enter the housing market.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will have a positive effect on health. The effects would be local in scale and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 21 Policy R9: Other Types of Homes

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will help reduce deprivation and allow for more people to enter the housing market.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will have a positive effect on health. The effects would be local in scale and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 22 Policy R10: Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will help reduce deprivation and allow for more people to enter the housing market.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will have a positive effect on health. The effects would be local in scale and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The policy supports accommodation being in reasonable proximity to a range of services and facilities. This will reduce the need to travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The policy supports accommodation being in reasonable proximity to a range of services and facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	The policy supports accommodation which is visually integrated into the local and wider landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	+	+	+	The policy supports accommodation which is visually integrated into the local and wider landscape. This may also have a positive effect on the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The policy supports accommodation being in reasonable proximity to a range of services and facilities. This will reduce the need to travel and there may have a positive effect on air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 23 Policy R11: Self-Build and Custom Housing*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	++	++	++	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will help reduce deprivation and allow for more people to enter the housing market.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy will support meeting the housing needs of a specific group of people, which will have a positive effect on health. The effects would be local in scale and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



*Table 24 Policy EJ1: Employment Land Requirements*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The provision of employment land will enable opportunity to ensure that employment is well-connected with housing. This will help support existing residents and future residents of new housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The provision of employment land will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The provision of employment land will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation. Unemployment and deprivation can cause negative effects on health and wellbeing; reducing them is likely to have a positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	The policy provides for employment land over the plan period to support a varied and robust economy. The policy directly supports substantial positive effects on this IA Objective including maintaining a sufficient labour force.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	+	+	+	The policy supports concentrating higher density employment development close to services and facilities as well as public and active travel links. This will reduce the need to travel and promote sustainable transport modes.	The policy could seek to promote the enhancement of existing transport infrastructure with new development that would reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement.
6	N	N	N	The policy does not help increase access to community infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	U	U	U	The new employment development will likely have an impact on the landscape, townscape, and the character of the borough. The full effect of this is unknown at this stage.	Apply other policies to ensure that new development considers the character and appearance of the immediate surrounding area.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	U	U	U	The new employment development will likely have an impact on the historic environment of the borough. The full effect of this is unknown at this stage.	Apply other policies to ensure that new development considers the historic environment.
9	-	-	-	The delivery of further employment land will likely lead to more industrial activity, more HGVs on roads and more commuting traffic to workplaces. These factors would likely have a negative effect on air quality.	Encourage sustainable travel, effective monitoring and management of AQMAs and the introduction of specific measures to tackle and improve Air Quality. Ensure these provisions are addressed through policies and the infrastructure delivery plan.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	N	+	+	The policy seeks to focus new employment land in existing employment areas identified in Policies EJ2 and EJ3. The policy also seeks to concentrate higher density development in the most sustainable locations. This approach minimises the requirement for additional development of greenfield and agricultural land to meet development needs leading to a minor positive effect in the medium to long term.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	It is assumed that the safeguards and licensing regimes in place outside the planning system would be sufficient to mitigate the potential effects from industrial and manufacturing processes. Impacts arising from development on water quality could arise and will be considered specifically in relation to the allocation IA assessments but in relation to this proposed policy are not significant.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	U	U	U	The policy seeks to concentrate higher density development in the most sustainable locations, which will help minimise the risk of damage to wildlife sites. However, it is likely that some of the employment development will be delivered on greenfield land, which may have an impact on biodiversity. Conversely, not all greenfield land has biodiversity interest and development offers the opportunity for biodiversity net gain. At this point, the potential effect is unknown.	Apply other plan policy to ensure that harm is avoided or mitigated.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	N	N	N	The policy does not include consumption of resources and the waste hierarchy; there is a neutral effect.	Include supporting sustainable consumption of resources and the implementation of the waste hierarchy.
14	N	-	--	The growth of manufacturing and industrial employment land would have potential negative greenhouse gas emissions. Emissions arising both from the industrial processes and the operation of buildings are likely to increase these emissions.	Ensure policy covers encouragement of sustainable travel, the decarbonisation of industrial processes and an effective implementation of energy performance standards.
15	+	+	+	The policy seeks to concentrate higher density development in locations at lowest risk of flooding; this will have a positive effect on flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no specific relationship between this policy and climate change resilience.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 25 Policy EJ2: Trafford Park

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The provision and protection of employment land in Trafford Park will help provide employment for existing residents and future residents of new housing in Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The provision and protection of employment land in Trafford Park will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The provision and protection of employment land in Trafford Park will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation. Unemployment and deprivation can cause negative effects on health and wellbeing; reducing them is likely to have a positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	The provision and protection of employment land in Trafford Park will help support a varied and robust economy. The policy directly supports substantial positive effects on this IA Objective including maintaining a sufficient labour force.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	+	+	+	The policy supports improvements to public transport and walking, wheeling and cycling infrastructure across Trafford Park, along with improved connections to surrounding areas. The policy also supports non-major retail and other service outlets at the Trafford Park Village Neighbourhood Centre to serve the needs of Trafford Park employees, which will reduce the need to travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The policy supports non major retail and other service outlets at the Trafford Park Village Neighbourhood Centre to serve the needs of Trafford Park employees. This will have a positive effect on access to services for the working community at Trafford Park.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	The policy supports employment development in Trafford Park, which is already dominated by employment uses. Little change to townscape and character is expected in the area. The support for urban greening could have a positive effect on character but overall the policy will have a neutral effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	The policy supports employment development in Trafford Park, which is already dominated by employment uses. Little change to the character of the area is expected and there will likely be little impact on heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	-	-	-	The delivery of further employment land will likely lead to more industrial activity, more HGVs on roads and more commuting traffic to workplaces. These factors would likely have a negative effect on air quality. However, the policy's support for sustainable transport and urban greening will help mitigate this effect. Overall, the policy is assessed as likely to have a negative effect on air quality.	Effective monitoring and management of AQMAs and the introduction of specific measures to tackle and improve Air Quality. Ensure these provisions are addressed through policies and the infrastructure delivery plan.
10	N	+	+	The policy seeks to focus new employment development in an existing employment area. This approach minimises the requirement for additional development of greenfield and agricultural land to meet development needs leading to a minor positive effect in the medium to long term.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	It is assumed that the safeguards and licensing regimes in place outside the planning system would be sufficient to mitigate the potential effects from industrial and manufacturing processes. Impacts arising from development on water quality could arise and will be considered specifically in relation to the allocation IA assessments but in relation to this proposed policy are not significant.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	+	+	The policy supports employment development in Trafford Park, which is already dominated by employment uses in an existing built-up area. Little impact on biodiversity is expected from development and the policy supports urban greening at Trafford Park, which will have a positive effect in the medium term.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	The policy does not include consumption of resources and the waste hierarchy; there is a neutral effect.	Include supporting sustainable consumption of resources and the implementation of the waste hierarchy.
14	-	-	+	The growth of manufacturing and industrial employment uses would have potential negative greenhouse gas emissions. Emissions arising both from the industrial processes and the operation of buildings are likely to increase these emissions. However, the policy supports the decarbonisation of Trafford Park with the target of becoming net zero carbon by 2038. The policy also supports opportunities for a Trafford Park Heat Network. These low carbon parts of the policy lead to an overall positive effect in the long term as carbon emissions decrease.	Ensure policy covers an effective implementation of energy performance standards.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	+	+	+	The policy supports the provision of SuDS in Trafford Park to mitigate the adverse impacts of development, which could have a positive effect on flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	The policy supports urban greening in Trafford Park to build resilience to climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 26 Policy EJ3: Industry and Warehousing (including Policies EJ3N, EJ3S and EJC)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The support for B2 and B8 uses within the employment areas set by the policy will help provide employment for existing residents and future residents of new housing in Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The support for B2 and B8 uses within the employment areas set by the policy will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The support for B2 and B8 uses within the employment areas set by the policy will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation. Unemployment and deprivation can cause negative effects on health and wellbeing; reducing them is likely to have a positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	The support for B2 and B8 uses within the employment areas set by the policy will help support a varied and robust economy. The policy directly supports substantial positive effects on this IA Objective including maintaining a sufficient labour force.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	N	N	+	The policy supports B2 and B8 uses at some existing employment areas, which would support the existing transport network to these sites. Supporting additional employment growth in vacant sites at New Carrington could promote improvements in transport infrastructure to this area in the long term.	Other policy in the plan should be developed to ensure new development and growth makes appropriate provision through policy requirements and infrastructure provision for sustainable travel to mitigate the potential effects.
6	N	N	N	The policy does not have an effect on access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	-	-	-	The policy supports employment development in some areas which are already dominated by employment uses, meaning little change to landscape or townscape. However, the areas of New Carrington and Dairy House Lane include greenfield and vacant land. Development in these areas will have a significant effect on landscape character although policies in the PFE and Local Plan will mitigate this impact.	Ensure mitigation measures in other policies are applied.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	N	N	N	The policy supports employment development in some areas already dominated by employment uses, meaning little impact on heritage assets is expected. The areas of New Carrington and Dairy House Lane include greenfield land but development at these locations is not expected to impact heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	-	-	-	The delivery of further employment land will likely lead to more industrial activity, more HGVs on roads and more commuting traffic to workplaces. These factors would likely have a negative effect on air quality.	Effective monitoring and management of AQMAs and the introduction of specific measures to tackle and improve Air Quality. Ensure these provisions are addressed through policies and the infrastructure delivery plan.
10	N	-	-	The policy seeks to focus new employment development in some existing employment areas. This approach minimises the requirement for additional development of greenfield and agricultural land to meet development needs. However, the policy supports development on greenfield land at New Carrington and Dairy House Lane, leading to an overall negative effect.	Ensure other policies supporting higher density on previously developed land are applied.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	N	N	N	It is assumed that the safeguards and licensing regimes in place outside the planning system would be sufficient to mitigate the potential effects from industrial and manufacturing processes. Impacts arising from development on water quality could arise and will be considered specifically in relation to the allocation IA assessments but in relation to this proposed policy are not significant.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	-	-	The policy seeks to focus new employment development in some existing employment areas. This approach minimises the requirement for additional development of greenfield land, which is likely be more ecologically sensitive. However, the policy supports development on greenfield land at New Carrington and Dairy House Lane, leading to an overall negative effect.	Ensure other policies protecting biodiversity are applied.
13	N	N	N	The policy does not include consumption of resources and the waste hierarchy; there is a neutral effect.	Include supporting sustainable consumption of resources and the implementation of the waste hierarchy.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	N	-	--	The growth of B2 and B8 employment uses would have potential negative greenhouse gas emissions. Emissions arising from the industrial processes, commuting traffic and the operation of buildings are likely to increase these emissions.	Ensure policy covers encouragement of sustainable travel, the decarbonisation of industrial processes and an effective implementation of energy performance standards.
15	N	N	N	There is no specific relationship between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no specific relationship between this policy and climate change resilience.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 27 Policy EJ4: Offices (including Policies EJ4N, EJ4S and EJ4C)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The support for office development within the employment areas set by the policy will help provide employment for existing residents and future residents of new housing within Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The support for office development within the employment areas set by the policy will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The support for office development within the employment areas set by the policy will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation. Unemployment and deprivation can cause negative effects on health and wellbeing; reducing them is likely to have a positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	The support for office development within the employment areas set by the policy will help support a varied and robust economy. The policy directly supports substantial positive effects on this IA Objective including maintaining a sufficient labour force.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	N	N	+	The policy supports office development at some existing employment areas, which would support the existing transport network to these sites. Supporting additional employment growth within vacant land at Davenport Green could promote improvements in transport infrastructure to this area in the long term. Also the policy supports office use with town centres, which will help reduce the need to travel.	Other policy in the plan should be developed to ensure new development and growth makes appropriate provision through policy requirements and infrastructure provision for sustainable travel to mitigate the potential effects.
6	N	N	N	The policy does not have an effect on access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	-	-	-	The policy supports employment development in some areas which are already dominated by employment uses, meaning little change to landscape or townscape. However, the areas Davenport Green and Trafford Waters include greenfield and vacant land. Development in here will have a significant effect on landscape character. However, there are policies in the PfE and Local Plan mitigating this impact.	Ensure mitigation measures in other policies are applied.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	U	U	U	The policy supports employment development in some areas already dominated by employment uses, meaning little impact on heritage assets is expected. The area of Davenport Green includes greenfield and vacant land, where heritage assets need to be considered. At this stage, effect on heritage is unknown.	Ensure policy on protecting heritage is applied.
9	-	-	-	The delivery of further employment land will likely lead to more commuting traffic to workplaces. This factor would likely have a negative effect on air quality.	Effective monitoring and management of AQMAs and the introduction of specific measures to tackle and improve Air Quality. Ensure these provisions are addressed through policies and the infrastructure delivery plan.
10	N	-	-	The policy seeks to focus new employment development in some existing employment areas. This approach minimises the requirement for additional development of greenfield and agricultural land to meet development needs. However, the policy supports development on greenfield land at Davenport Green, leading to an overall negative effect.	Ensure other policies supporting higher density on previously developed land are applied.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	N	N	N	It is assumed that the safeguards and licensing regimes in place outside the planning system would be sufficient to mitigate the potential effects from industrial and manufacturing processes. Impacts arising from development on water quality could arise and will be considered specifically in relation to the allocation IA assessments but in relation to this proposed policy are not significant.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	-	-	The policy seeks to focus new employment development in some existing employment areas. This approach minimises the requirement for additional development of greenfield land, which is likely be more ecologically sensitive. However, the policy supports development on greenfield land at Davenport Green, leading to an overall negative effect.	Ensure other policies protecting biodiversity are applied.
13	N	N	N	The policy does not include consumption of resources and the waste hierarchy; there is a neutral effect.	Include supporting sustainable consumption of resources and the implementation of the waste hierarchy.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	N	-	--	The growth office employment uses would have potential negative greenhouse gas emissions. Emissions arising from the operation of buildings and commuting traffic are likely to increase these emissions.	Ensure policy covers encouragement of sustainable travel, the decarbonisation of industrial processes and an effective implementation of energy performance standards.
15	N	N	N	No specific relationship between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	No specific relationship between this policy and climate change resilience.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 28 Policy EJ5: Safeguarding Trafford's Employment Land*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The protection of existing employment land will help support existing residents and future residents of new housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The protection of existing employment land will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The protection of existing land will provide greater employment opportunities, which will help reduce unemployment and deprivation. Unemployment and deprivation can cause negative effects on health and wellbeing; reducing them is likely to have a positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	The protection of existing employment land will support a varied and robust economy. The policy directly supports substantial positive effects on this IA Objective including maintaining a sufficient labour force.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There are no significant links between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	-	-	-	There could be a slight negative effect on the objective by resisting changes of use from employment to social infrastructure uses such as shops and healthcare services. This effect would be local.	Include a provision to support a change of use to social infrastructure where it is demonstrated to be needed.
7	N	N	N	There is not significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is not significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	Resisting the loss of employment sites will help meet employment needs. This will help reduce the need for new employment developments, which could reduce motor vehicle traffic.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	Resisting the loss of employment sites will help meet employment needs. This will help reduce the need for new employment developments, which could put less pressure on developing greenfield sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	Resisting the loss of employment sites will help meet employment needs. This will help reduce the need for new employment developments, which could help reduce demand on water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	+	+	+	Resisting the loss of employment sites will help meet employment needs. This will help reduce the need for new employment developments, which could help reduce development in ecologically sensitive sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	+	+	+	Resisting the loss of employment sites will help meet employment needs. This will help reduce the need for new employment developments, which could help reduce the consumption of resources and generation of waste in construction of new employment sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	Resisting the loss of employment sites will help meet employment needs. This will help reduce the need for new employment developments, which could help limit carbon emissions during construction of new employment sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	Resisting the loss of employment sites will help meet employment needs. This will help reduce the need for new employment developments, which could help limit the reduction of natural water storage capacity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	N	N	N	No significant effect on resilience to the effects of climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



Table 29 Policy EJ6: Data and Energy Storage

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	The proposed policy directly supports economic growth through housing IT infrastructure. The effects would be long lasting and would be cumulative as the economy would grow. There is the potential for improving the attractiveness of the region as a place to invest. High level employment opportunities would be provided.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	Acknowledge the significant energy demand of data centres and request that developers show consideration of how energy will be used efficiently and renewable energy will be integrated into schemes to reduce carbon emissions and mitigate climate change.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 30 Policy EJ7: Rural Economy

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The proposed policy supports uses which would contribute towards the rural economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	For farm buildings to support agricultural use and farm diversification proposals, it is required that traffic generation is consistent with the rural location and condition of local roads. The policy also requires that access to public transport in rural areas should be improved as part of new farm buildings or diversification.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	For farm buildings to support agricultural use and farm diversification proposals, it is required that there is no unacceptable impact on landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	+	+	+	For farm buildings to support agricultural use and farm diversification proposals, it is required that there is no unacceptable impact on landscape. This would likely help protect heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	For farm buildings to support agricultural use and farm diversification proposals, it is required that there is no unacceptable impact on soil quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	For farm buildings to support agricultural use and farm diversification proposals, it is required that there is no unacceptable impact on ecology.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 31 Policy TM1: Walking Wheeling and Cycling (including Policies TM1N, TM1S, TM1C and TM1W)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	This policy supports active travel infrastructure thereby reducing reliance on the private car. This will help ensure that communities are well connected, and residents have improved access to community facilities, thereby also promoting social inclusion. This is particularly important in areas of deprivation where car ownership is likely to be lower. The lowering of deprivation would take time to be realised but would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community. Equality of opportunity would be a more immediate effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	++	++	Supporting active travel will promote more active and healthy lifestyles. Secondly, the policy will help reduce road traffic and so improve air quality and respiratory health. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community. Modal shift for travel does take time so it is expected that the positive impact of the policy will increase over time.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
4	+	+	+	Improved active travel infrastructure can help enable economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving connectivity within Trafford and Greater Manchester. This would have a positive impact on the area's economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	++	++	++	The policy will directly champion sustainable modes of transport and improve transport connectivity. The policy will lead to immediate long-term positive effects to the whole of Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	++	++	++	The policy will directly improve opportunities to use active travel to access community infrastructure and meet people's needs. The benefits of this policy will improve over time as more developments create a good space for active travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	+	+	+	By promoting active travel, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent	Target active travel schemes in areas designated as or at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving soils whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for active travel infrastructure will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 32 Policy TM2: Public Transport

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The provision of a new public transport would help provide infrastructure to support the delivery of more sustainable new housing developments.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	This policy supports public transport infrastructure thereby reducing reliance on the private car. This will help ensure that communities are well connected, and residents have improved access to community facilities, thereby also promoting social inclusion. This is particularly important in areas of deprivation where car ownership is likely to be lower. The lowering of deprivation would take time to be realised but would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community. Equality of opportunity would be a more immediate effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	++	++	Supporting public transport will promote more active and healthy lifestyles. Secondly, the policy will help reduce road traffic and so improve air quality and respiratory health. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community. Modal shift for travel does take time so it is expected that the positive impact of the policy will increase over time.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
4	+	+	+	Improved public transport can help enable economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving connectivity within Trafford and Greater Manchester. This would have a positive impact on the area's economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	++	++	++	The policy will directly support a sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles. The policy will lead to immediate long term positive effects to the whole of Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	++	++	The policy will directly improve the availability to use public transport to access community infrastructure and meet people's needs. The benefits of this policy will improve over time as more developments create space for active travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	+	+	+	By promoting public transport, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent.	Target public transport schemes in areas designated as or at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	U	U	U	The expansion of public transport routes could have a negative impact on the soils and potential land contamination through development on sites that are currently undeveloped. Although new public transport routes would also be delivered as part of a wider development and would constitute only a small proportion of development land. The full effect is unknown.	Apply PfE and other draft Local Plan policies to reduce the impact on soils and land contamination..
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	U	U	U	There is a possibility that new public transport infrastructure, particularly new Metrolink and rail routes could come forward within areas of biodiversity sensitivity. Until the routes are confirmed the effect is unknown.	Apply other policies in the plan to ensure no adverse impacts with regards to this IA objective.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	+	+	+	The support for public transport infrastructure will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 33 Policy TM3: Car Clubs

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	<p>This policy supports car clubs, thereby reducing reliance on the private car. This will help ensure that communities are well connected, and residents have improved access to community facilities, thereby also promoting social inclusion. This is particularly important in areas of deprivation where car ownership is likely to be lower. The lowering of deprivation would take time to be realised but would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community.</p> <p>Equality of opportunity would be a more immediate effect.</p>	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting car clubs will help reduce road traffic and so improve air quality and respiratory health. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	Supporting car clubs can help enable economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving connectivity within Trafford and Greater Manchester. This would have a positive impact on the area's economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	+	+	+	The support for car clubs reduces reliance on private motor vehicles. The policy will lead to long term positive effects to the whole of Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The policy will directly improve the availability to use car clubs to access community infrastructure and meet people's needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	By promoting car clubs, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent.	Target car club schemes in areas designated as or at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the protection of soils and reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for car clubs will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



Table 34 Policy TM4: Mobility Hubs

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	This policy supports mobility hubs, thereby reducing reliance on the private car. This will help ensure that communities are well connected, and residents have improved access to community facilities, thereby also promoting social inclusion. This is particularly important in areas of deprivation where car ownership is likely to be lower. The lowering of deprivation would take time to be realised but would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community. Equality of opportunity would be a more immediate effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting mobility hubs will help reduce road traffic and so improve air quality and respiratory health. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	Supporting mobility hubs can help enable economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving connectivity within Trafford and Greater Manchester. This would have a positive impact on the area's economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	+	+	+	The support for mobility hubs reduces reliance on private motor vehicles. The policy will lead to long term positive effects to the whole of Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The policy will directly improve the availability to use mobility hubs to access community infrastructure and meet people's needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	By promoting mobility hubs, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent	Target mobility hub schemes in areas designated as or at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the protection of soils and reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for mobility hubs will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 35 Policy TM5: Local Highway Network

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The policy supports improvement of the highway network. It also safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks improvements to the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This policy will help provide necessary infrastructure for future housing developments.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	This policy will help create sufficient capacity on the highway network to allow connectivity and ease of movement that supports economic growth and job creation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The policy will help ensure the transport network can support the anticipated scale and distribution of development. Also, the policy supports proposals which help overcome barriers to the Local Highway Network for non-vehicular users, which helps reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	The policy supports proposals which help overcome barriers to the Local Highway Network for non-vehicular users, which will help improve opportunities for residents to use active travel to meet their needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	-	-	-	The policy safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks enhancements for the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This major new infrastructure has potential for a negative effect on landscape and local character.	Ensure mitigation for impacts on landscape from new highway infrastructure.
8	-	-	-	The policy safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks enhancements for the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This major new infrastructure has potential for a negative effect on landscape and local character and could affect any nearby heritage assets.	Ensure mitigation for impacts on heritage from new highway infrastructure.
9	-	-	-	The policy safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks enhancements for the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This major new infrastructure has potential for a negative effect on air quality.	Ensure mitigation for impacts on air quality from new highway infrastructure.
10	-	-	-	The policy safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks enhancements for the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This major new infrastructure has potential for loss of important land and soils.	Ensure mitigation for impacts on soils and land from new highway infrastructure.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	-	-	-	The policy safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks enhancements for the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This major new infrastructure has potential for increasing surface runoff to water bodies and contribute to pollution.	Ensure mitigation for impacts on water pollution from new highway infrastructure.
12	-	-	-	The policy safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks enhancements for the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This major new infrastructure has potential for have negative impacts on areas of biodiversity sensitivity.	Ensure mitigation for impacts on biodiversity from new highway infrastructure.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	-	-	-	The policy safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks enhancements for the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This major new infrastructure has potential for increasing emissions and a negative effect on air quality.	<p>Ensure policies supporting sustainable transport mitigate potential effects on air quality.</p> <p>For new road development and upgrades to the local highway network, require developers to submit information demonstrating that the impact of the development on climate change has been considered, and mitigation measures are put forward.</p>

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	-	-	-	The policy safeguards the Carrington Relief Road and seeks enhancements for the Western Gateway Infrastructure Scheme. This major new infrastructure has potential to increase run-rates and increase flood risk.	For new road development and upgrades to the local highway network, require developers to submit information demonstrating that flood risk has been considered, and mitigation measures are put forward.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resilience to climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



*Table 36 Policy TM6: The A56 (including Policies TM6N, TM6C and TM6S)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	This policy supports sustainable modes of transport thereby reducing reliance on the private car. This will help ensure that communities are well connected, and residents have improved access to community facilities, thereby also promoting social inclusion. This is particularly important in areas of deprivation where car ownership is likely to be lower. The lowering of deprivation would take time to be realised but would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community. Equality of opportunity would be a more immediate effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	++	++	Supporting sustainable modes of transport will promote more active and healthy lifestyles. Secondly, the policy will help reduce road traffic and so improve air quality and respiratory health. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community. Modal shift for travel does take time so it is expected that the positive impact of the policy will increase over time.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
4	+	+	+	Improved sustainable modes of transport can help enable significant economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving connectivity within Trafford and Greater Manchester. This would have a positive impact on the area's economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	++	++	++	The policy will directly support a sustainable transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles. The policy will lead to immediate long term positive effects to the whole of Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	++	++	The policy will directly improve the availability to use sustainable modes of transport to access community infrastructure and meet people's needs. The benefits of this policy will improve over time as more developments create space for active travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	+	+	+	By promoting sustainable modes of transport, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent	Target public transport schemes in areas designated as or at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soils and land.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for sustainable modes of transport will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 37 Policy TM7: Freight

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy will help reduce road traffic and so improve air quality and respiratory health. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community. Modal shift for travel does take time so it is expected that the positive impact of the policy will increase over time.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	An improved sustainable distribution system can help enable economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving connectivity within Trafford and Greater Manchester. This would have a positive impact on the area's economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The policy will directly support a sustainable distribution system that reduces road traffic. The policy will lead to long term positive effects to the whole of Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	By promoting a sustainable distribution system, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent	Target public sustainable distribution schemes in areas designated as or at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soils and land.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for a sustainable distribution system will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 38 Policy TM8: Manchester Ship Canal

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	This policy supports the increased use of the Manchester Ship Canal for the sustainable movement of people.. This will help ensure that communities are well-connected and residents have improved access to community facilities, thereby also promoting social inclusion. This is particularly important in areas of deprivation where car ownership is likely to be lower. The lowering of deprivation would take time to be realised but would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community. Equality of opportunity would be a more immediate effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting sustainable transport routes will promote more active and healthy lifestyles. Secondly, the policy will help reduce road traffic and so improve air quality and respiratory health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	Improved sustainable transport routes can help enable economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving connectivity within Trafford and Greater Manchester. This would have a positive impact on the area's economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	++	++	++	The policy will directly support a sustainable transport route that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles. The policy will lead to immediate long term positive effects to the whole of Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	++	++	The policy supports the availability to sustainable transport routes.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	By promoting sustainable transport routes, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent	Target public transport schemes in areas designated as or at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for sustainable transport routes will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 39 Policy TM9: Potential Future Rail Route*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	The provision of a new rail route relates to the former HS2 route and this would not help deliver housing within Trafford. .	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	The provision of a new rail route relates to the former HS2 route and this would not help provide access to community facilities within Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	The provision of a new rail route relates to the former HS2 route and this would not help modal shift within Trafford to support healthy lifestyles.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	A new rail route can help enable significant economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving greater connectivity This would have a positive impact on the national economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	The provision of a new rail route relates to the former HS2 route and this would not help modal shift within Trafford to support healthy lifestyles	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	The provision of a new rail route relates to the former HS2 route and this would not help modal shift within Trafford to support healthy lifestyles	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	-	-	-	There is potential for the new rail route to have negative effects on landscape and local character.	Ensure mitigation on landscape from the effects of the new rail route.
8	-	-	-	There is potential for the new rail route to have negative effects on heritage assets.	Ensure mitigation on historic assets from the effects of the new rail route.
9	U	U	U	By supporting a new rail route, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in Trafford. However, the national scale rail route would attract a large number of trips to the station and this could increase emissions from traffic. The effect is unknown.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	-	-	-	The expansion of a new rail route routes could have a negative impact on the soils and potential land contamination through development on sites that are currently undeveloped.	Additional clarification on how the policy would seek to reduce the impact on soils and land contamination through the development of the new rail route.
11	-	-	-	The delivery of the new rail route could increase surface runoff to water bodies and contribute to pollution.	Ensure mitigation on water resources from the effects of the new rail route.
12	-	-	-	There is a possibility for the new rail route to have negative effects on areas of biodiversity sensitivity.	Ensure mitigation on biodiversity from the effects of the new rail route.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	++	++	++	The support for a new rail route will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by reducing road traffic. This would be a benefit on a national scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 40 Policy TM10: Parking Standards

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports provision for electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle parking, which will help improve air quality. The support for cycle parking will help support active lifestyles. Both of these factors will have a positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The provision of electric vehicle charging infrastructure and cycle parking will improve the sustainability of transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The provision of sufficient, suitable car and cycle parking spaces, including electric vehicle charging points, would support the public in accessing the services and facilities they need. This effect would be long lasting and would be local in its nature.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	By promoting cycle parking and electric vehicle charging infrastructure, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	By promoting cycle parking and electric vehicle charging infrastructure, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce carbon emissions. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



Table 41 Policy TM11: Lorry Management

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The policy says employment development likely to generate a significant number of HGV movements should consider incorporating lorry parking facilities. This will have a positive effect on ensuring employment is well-served by infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	+	+	+	The policy says purpose-built lorry parking must address any conflict with policies addressing climate change and sustainability. The implications of the policy should have a long-term positive impact on improving air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and land and soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The policy says purpose-built lorry parking must address any conflict with policies addressing climate change and sustainability. The implications of the policy should have a long-term positive impact on reducing greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 42 Policy TM12: Park and Ride

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	+	+	This policy supports park and ride facilities thereby reducing reliance on the private car. This will help ensure that communities are well connected, and residents have improved access to community facilities, thereby also promoting social inclusion. This is particularly important in areas of deprivation where car ownership is likely to be lower. The lowering of deprivation would take time to be realised but would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting park and ride facilities will promote more active and healthy lifestyles. Secondly, the policy will help reduce road traffic and so improve air quality and respiratory health. Modal shift for travel does take time so it is expected that the positive impact of the policy will increase over time.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	Park and ride facilities can help enable economic growth and make the economy more resilient by improving connectivity within Trafford and Greater Manchester. This would have a positive impact on the area's economy.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	+	+	+	The policy support park and ride facilities that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles. The policy will lead to immediate long term positive effects to the whole of Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	++	++	The policy will directly improve the availability to use public transport to access community infrastructure and meet people's needs. The benefits of this policy will improve over time as more developments create a good space for active travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	-	-	-	There is potential for the new park and ride facilities to have negative effects on landscape and local character.	Ensure mitigation on landscape from the effects of the new park and ride facilities.
8	-	-	-	There is potential for the new park and ride facilities to have negative effects on heritage assets.	Ensure mitigation on historic assets from the effects of the new park and ride facilities.
9	+	+	+	By supporting park and ride facilities, this policy should help reduce the amount of road traffic. This will reduce harmful emissions and have a positive impact on air quality, especially within the Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in Trafford. The overall effect is positive and would be permanent	Target public transport schemes in areas designated as or at risk of becoming an AQMA.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	-	-	-	New park and ride facilities could have a negative impact on the soils and potential land contamination through development on sites that are currently undeveloped.	Additional clarification on how the policy would seek to reduce the impact on soils and land contamination through the development of the new rail route.
11	-	-	-	New park and ride facilities could increase surface runoff to water bodies and contribute to pollution.	Ensure mitigation on water resources from the effects of the new rail route.
12	-	-	-	There is a possibility for the new park and ride facilities to have negative effects on areas of biodiversity sensitivity.	Ensure mitigation on biodiversity from the effects of the new rail route.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for new park and ride facilities will have a positive effect on helping to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by reducing road traffic. This would be a benefit on a national scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	-	-	-	There is potential for new park and ride facilities to increase flood risk; there is potential for a negative effect.	Ensure mitigation on flood risk from new park and ride facilities.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 43 Policy TM13: Crowd Movement and Routes

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	This policy supports the safety of crowd movement at tourism facilities and destinations.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	This policy supports safe patterns of movement by walking.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



Table 44 Policy TC1: Hierarchy of Centres

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	This policy designates centres and resists the loss of retail units. This will have a positive effect on vitality and viability of town centres.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The designates centres across Trafford are well served by public transport. Encouraging main town centre uses within these centres will improve access to services and facilities and have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The designates centres across Trafford are well served by public transport. Encouraging main town centre uses within these centres will improve access to services and facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	This policy designates centres and resists the loss of retail units. This will help reduce the need to travel and should in turn have a long-term positive effect on air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	This policy designates centres and resists the loss of retail units. This will help reduce the need to travel and should in turn have a long-term positive effect on reducing carbon emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 45 Policy TC2: Role and Function of Centres*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The policy supports residential development within town centres, which will have a positive effect on the delivery of a mix of housing. This effect will be permanent and across the borough.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	This policy seeks to protect and enhance designated centres. This will have a positive effect on vitality and viability of town centres. This would have a permanent positive impact on sustainable economic growth that will be felt across the borough.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The policy seeks to protect and enhance designated centres, which will improve access to services and facilities and have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	The policy seeks to protect and enhance designated centres, which will improve access to services and facilities for the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	The centres of Trafford are an important aspect of the townscape. Protecting and enhancing these centres, through this proposed policy would have a permanent, positive effect on this IA Objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The policy requires that proposals for residential development within town centres should not have detrimental impact on local heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The policy seeks to protect and enhance designated centres, which will improve access to services and facilities and have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel. This should in turn have a long-term positive effect on air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	+	+	+	The policy seeks to protect and enhance designated centres, which will improve access to services and facilities and have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel. This should in turn have a long-term positive effect on reducing carbon emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 46 Policy TC3: Out of Centre Retail and Leisure*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	This policy resists town centres uses outside of designated centres, which will have a positive effect on vitality and viability of town centres. This would have a permanent positive impact on sustainable economic growth that will be felt across the borough.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	This policy resists town centres uses outside of designated centres, which will have a positive effect on vitality and viability of existing centres. This will improve access to services and facilities and have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	This policy resists town centres uses outside of designated centres, which will have a positive effect on vitality and viability of existing centres. This will improve access to services and facilities for the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	This policy resists town centres uses outside of designated centres, which will have a positive effect on vitality and viability of existing centres. This will improve access to services and facilities and have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel. This should in turn have a long-term positive effect on air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	This policy resists town centres uses outside of designated centres, which will have a positive effect on vitality and viability of existing centres. This will improve access to services and facilities and have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel. This should in turn have a long-term positive effect on reducing carbon emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 47 Policy GI1: Landscape Character Areas

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	++	++	++	This policy supports protecting and enhancing landscape character, directly having a positive effect on the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	This policy supports protecting and enhancing landscape character, which will also have positive effects for heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	This policy supports protecting and enhancing landscape character, including the biodiversity assets of a landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 48 Policy GI2: Green Infrastructure Network

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Protection and enhancement of green infrastructure will allow for more attractive outdoor spaces that would encourage active lifestyles. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community, including those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	Protection and enhancement of green infrastructure could see more people choosing active travel options due to the attractiveness of the environment. However, modal shift takes some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel. The effects would be long lasting but would only be experienced by the community close to improvements.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	Enhancement of green infrastructure will improve opportunities for active travel and will improve opportunities for residents to use active travel to support their needs. The effects would be long lasting but would only be experienced by the community close to improvements.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.
7	++	++	++	Protection and enhancement of green infrastructure has a major role in conserving the landscape and townscape character. The policy specifically protects green infrastructure and sets out other areas to be enhanced. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	Green infrastructure is likely to form part of or provide setting to many parts of the historic environment. The policy is compatible with the IA objective. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	+	+	Protection and enhancement of green infrastructure, particularly trees, is compatible with improving air quality. The policy will also promote sustainable modes of transport. Positive effects may take some time and may benefit from targeted schemes. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes and/or improvement of existing green infrastructure in AQMA's or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	+	+	+	The protection and enhancement of green infrastructure will help protect special geological sites. The effects would be long lasting and would be felt at the local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	++	++	++	Green infrastructure plays an important role in drainage and sustaining water quality. Protection and provision of green infrastructure is compatible with this IA Objective. The geographical scale of the effects would be national, in considering the level of protection afforded to the watercourses.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	Green infrastructure can have biodiversity interest and its protection and enhancement are positive in contributing to a biodiversity network. There would not be a major positive effect in that the uses of open spaces are not always compatible with nature conservation. The effects would be positive at a local to regional scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	Enhancement of green infrastructure will improve opportunities for active travel and reduce carbon emissions for private motor vehicles.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	+	+	+	There is a positive link between green infrastructure and water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	It is expected that climate change will increase temperatures and instances of flooding. There is a positive link between the enhancement of green infrastructure, tree planting and water management and climate change resilience. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 49 Policy GI3: Great Manchester Wetlands Nature Improvement Area (NIA)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services could provide more attractive outdoor spaces that would encourage active lifestyles. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community, including those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services could see more people choosing active travel options due to the attractiveness of the environment. However, modal shift takes some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel. The effects would be long lasting but would only be experienced by the community close to improvements.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	N	N	+	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services has potential for providing more opportunities for walking, cycling and horse riding to meet resident's needs. However, modal shift takes some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel. The effects would be long lasting but would only be experienced by the community close to improvements.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.
7	++	++	++	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services would contribute positively towards landscape character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services has potential to form part of or provide setting to some parts of the historic environment. The policy is compatible with the IA objective. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	+	+	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and delivering enhanced ecosystem services will contribute positively to improving air quality. Positive effects may take some time and may benefit from targeted schemes. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes and/or improvement of existing green infrastructure in AQMA's or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	+	+	+	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services will help protect special geological sites and areas of peat. The effects would be long lasting and would be felt at the local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	++	++	++	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services would have an important and positive role in drainage and sustaining water quality. The geographical scale of the effects would be national, in considering the level of potential enhancement.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	++	++	++	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services has strong biodiversity interest and its protection and enhancement is positive in contributing to a biodiversity network. The effects would be positive at a local to regional scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services could provide more attractive outdoor spaces that would encourage active travel rather than using private motor vehicles. It would also provide carbon sequestration. This could reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	Supporting wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services would promote opportunities to reduce flood risk by working with nature-based solutions. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	There is a positive link between wetland improvement and restoration and enhancing ecosystem services with climate change resilience. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 50 Policy GI4: Mersey Valley (including Policies GI4, GIC and GIW)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports improving access to the Mersey Valley and adjoining areas via active travel. This will support healthy and active lifestyles. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community, including those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The policy supports improving access to the Mersey Valley and adjoining areas via active travel. This would champion sustainable modes of transport. The effects would be long lasting.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.
6	+	+	+	The policy supports improving access to the Mersey Valley and adjoining areas via active travel. This will help improve availability of opportunities to residents to use active travel to meet their needs. The effects would be long lasting.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	The policy supports protecting and enhancing the Mersey Valley's strategic role and supports opportunities to improve access where they respect and support the area's natural environment. This will contribute positively towards landscape character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The policy supports protecting and enhancing the Mersey Valley's strategic role and supports opportunities to improve access where they respect and support the area's natural environment. This will help protect areas where the Mersey Valley forms part of the historic environment. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	+	+	The policy supports protecting and enhancing the Mersey Valley's strategic role and supports opportunities to improve access where they respect and support the area's natural environment. Supporting the natural environment of the Mersey Valley, is compatible with improving air quality. The policy will also help promote sustainable travel. Positive effects may take some time and may benefit from targeted schemes. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement improvement of existing green infrastructure in AQMA's or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	+	+	+	The protection and enhancement of the Mersey Valley will help protect any special geological sites. The effects would be long lasting and would be felt at the local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	++	++	++	The Mersey Valley plays an important role in drainage and sustaining water quality. Protection and enhancement of the Mersey Valley's strategic role will contribute positively to this objective. The geographical scale of the effects would be national, in considering the interconnectedness of the River Mersey.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	The protection and enhancement of the Mersey Valley's important strategic role is linked to its biodiversity network. Opportunities to improve access to nature are supported where they respect the area's natural environment and biodiversity value. The effects would be positive at a local to regional scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The policy supports improving access to the Mersey Valley and adjoining areas via active travel. This will help improve availability of opportunities to residents to use active travel and reduce carbon emissions from private motor vehicles.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	+	+	+	The policy supports reducing or mitigating the effects of flood risk from the Mersey Valley on the surrounding built environment, having a positive effect on the objective. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The policy would contribute to resilience against effects of climate change by providing urban cooling and reducing flood risk. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 51 Policy GI5: Green Infrastructure Opportunity Areas (including Policies GI5, GI5S, GI5C and GI5W)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Improving accessibility and informal recreation within green infrastructure opportunity areas will allow for more attractive outdoor spaces that would encourage active lifestyles. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community, including those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	Improving accessibility and informal recreation within green infrastructure opportunity areas will encourage sustainable modes of transport. However, modal shift takes some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel. The effects would be long lasting but would only be experienced by the community close to improvements.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	Improving accessibility and informal recreation within green infrastructure opportunity areas will improve opportunities for residents to use active travel to support their needs. The effects would be long lasting but would only be experienced by the community close to improvements.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.
7	+	+	+	The policy says development within green infrastructure opportunity areas must demonstrate how they will maintain and enhance the character of the area. This will help protect landscape and local character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The policy says development within green infrastructure opportunity areas must demonstrate how they will maintain and enhance the character of the area. This will help protect heritage assets that are part of the local character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	+	+	Safeguarding and enhancing existing valued habitats can contribute positively towards air quality. The policy will also promote sustainable modes of transport. Positive effects may take some time and may benefit from targeted schemes. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes and/or improvement of existing green infrastructure in AQMAs or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	+	+	+	The safeguarding and enhancing of existing areas of valued habitats could also help protect special geological sites. The effects would be long lasting and would be felt at the local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	The safeguarding and enhancing of existing areas of valued habitats plays an important role in drainage and sustaining water quality. The geographical scale of the effects would be national, in considering the level of protection afforded to the watercourses.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	The safeguarding and enhancing of existing areas of valued habitats and sites of biological importance will be positive in contributing to a biodiversity network. The effects would be positive at a local to regional scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	Improving accessibility and informal recreation within green infrastructure opportunity areas will improve opportunities for residents to use active travel and reduce carbon emissions from private motor vehicles.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	+	+	+	The safeguarding of valued habitats within green infrastructure opportunity areas has potential for positive effect on water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	It is expected that climate change will increase temperatures and instances of flooding. There is a positive link between enhancing areas of valued habitats and climate change resilience. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	Support opportunities to integrate new climate-resilience species into natural spaces.

*Table 52 Policy GI6: Green Infrastructure in New Developments*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting development proposals which contribute to the green infrastructure network will allow for more attractive outdoor spaces that would encourage active lifestyles. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community, including those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	Supporting development proposals which contribute to the green infrastructure network could see more people choosing active travel options due to the attractiveness of the environment. However, modal shift takes some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel. The effects would be long lasting but would only be experienced by the community close to improvements.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	Supporting development proposals which contribute to the green infrastructure network will improve opportunities for active travel and will improve opportunities for residents to use active travel to support their needs. The effects would be long lasting but would only be experienced by the community close to improvements.	Seek and promote active travel routes that would not be harmful to the green infrastructure.
7	++	++	++	Supporting development proposals which contribute to the green infrastructure network has a major role in conserving the landscape and townscape character. The policy says development must respond to the context of the site. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	Green infrastructure is likely to form part of or provide setting to many parts of the historic environment. Also, the policy says green infrastructure schemes should consider impact on heritage assets. The policy is compatible with the IA objective. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	+	+	Supporting development proposals which contribute to the green infrastructure network is compatible with improving air quality. The policy will also promote sustainable modes of transport. Positive effects may take some time and may benefit from targeted schemes. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes and/or improvement of existing green infrastructure in AQMAs or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	+	+	+	Supporting development proposals which contribute to the green infrastructure network will help protect special geological sites. The effects would be long lasting and would be felt at the local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	Supporting development proposals which contribute to the green infrastructure network plays an important role in drainage and sustaining water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	Green infrastructure can have biodiversity interest and contributing to the green infrastructure network is positive in contributing to a biodiversity network. Also, the policy says green infrastructure schemes must optimise benefit to wildlife habitat and biodiversity net gain. The effects would be positive at a local to regional scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	+	+	+	Supporting development proposals which contribute to the green infrastructure network will improve opportunities for active travel and reduce carbon emissions for private motor vehicles.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	There is a positive link between green infrastructure and water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	It is expected that climate change will increase temperatures and instances of flooding. There is a positive link between the enhancement of green infrastructure, tree planting and water management and climate change resilience. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 53 Policy GI7: Trees, Woodland and Hedgerows

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy says the Council will seek to significantly increase tree canopy cover; this will allow for more attractive outdoor spaces that would be good for mental wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	Significantly increasing tree canopy cover and protecting existing trees could potentially see more people choosing active travel options due to the attractiveness of the environment. This may take some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	Seeking to significantly increase tree canopy cover and protect existing trees will positively contribute to landscape and townscape character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	Seeking to significantly increase tree canopy cover and protect existing trees will positively contribute to landscape and townscape character. This could positively contribute to the setting of heritage assets. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	Seeking to significantly increase tree canopy cover and protect existing trees would contribute positively to improving air quality. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes in AQMAs or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving soils and reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	Seeking to significantly increase tree canopy cover and protect existing trees can play an important role in drainage and sustaining water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	+	+	+	Seeking to significantly increase tree canopy cover and protect existing trees would support habitat and contribute to the biodiversity network. The effects would be positive at a local to regional scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	Seeking to significantly increase tree canopy cover and protect existing trees would positively contribute towards reducing carbon emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	There is a positive link between increasing tree canopy cover and water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	Seeking to significantly increase tree canopy cover and protect existing trees will positively contribute towards urban cooling and water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 54 Policy GI8: Protection of Locally Designated Sites and Natural Environment Assets*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports the protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets. This will allow for more attractive outdoor spaces that would be good for mental wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets could potentially see more people choosing active travel options due to the attractiveness of the environment. This may take some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel.	Seek and promote active travel routes within the natural environment that would not be harmful to biodiversity.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets will positively contribute to landscape character. Also, the policy supports development which protects and enhances landscape character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets will positively contribute to landscape character. Also, the policy supports development which protects and enhances landscape character. This could positively contribute to the setting of heritage assets. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets would contribute positively to improving air quality. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes in AQMAs or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	+	+	+	The policy supports development which protects and enhances geodiversity value of natural environmental assets. This will positively contribute towards protecting geodiversity. The effects would be long lasting and would be on a local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	+	+	+	The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets will include protecting water features from pollution and improving water quality. The geographical scale of the effects would be national, in considering the level of protection afforded to the watercourses.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	++	++	++	The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets, designated sites and nature recover networks will have a directly positive effect on this objective. The effects would be positive at a local to international scale, given the protection afforded to the wildlife sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The protection and enhancement of natural environmental assets would positively contribute towards reducing carbon emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	There is a positive link between protecting natural assets and water management. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	+	+	+	Seeking to protect and enhance natural assets will positively contribute towards urban cooling and water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 55 Policy GI9: Biodiversity Opportunity Areas (including Policies GI9, GI9S, GI9C and GI9W)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas. This will allow for more attractive outdoor spaces that would be good for mental wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas could potentially see more people choosing active travel options due to the attractiveness of the environment. This may take some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel.	Seek and promote active travel routes within the natural environment that would not be harmful to biodiversity.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas will positively contribute to landscape character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas will positively contribute to landscape character. This could positively contribute to the setting of heritage assets. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas would contribute positively to improving air quality. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes in AQMAs or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	+	+	+	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas could also give opportunity to improve the geodiversity value. This will positively contribute towards protecting geodiversity. The effects would be long lasting and would be on a local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	+	+	+	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas will include protecting water features from pollution and improving water quality. The geographical scale of the effects would be national, in considering the level of protection afforded to the watercourses.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	++	++	++	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas will have a directly positive effect on this objective. The effects would be positive at a local to international scale, given the protection afforded to the wildlife sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas would positively contribute towards reducing carbon emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	There is a positive link between support for biodiversity and habitat improvements and water management. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	+	+	+	The support for biodiversity and habitat improvements within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas will positively contribute towards urban cooling and water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale.	Support opportunities to integrate new climate-resilience species into natural spaces.

Table 56 Policy GI10: Building Biodiversity into New Developments

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity, such as new wildlife habitats. This will allow for more attractive outdoor spaces that would be good for mental wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	The policy supports development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity, such as new wildlife habitats. This could potentially see more people choosing active travel options due to the attractiveness of the environment. This may take some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel.	Seek and promote active travel routes within the natural environment that would not be harmful to biodiversity.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	The support for development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity will positively contribute to landscape and townscape character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The support for development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity will positively contribute to landscape and townscape character. This could positively contribute to the setting of heritage assets. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The support for development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity would contribute positively to improving air quality. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes in AQMAs or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	+	+	+	The support for development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity including new wildlife habitats could also give opportunity to improve the geodiversity value. This will positively contribute towards protecting geodiversity. The effects would be long lasting and would be on a local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	+	+	+	The support for development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity including the creations of wildlife habitats could positively contribute towards improving water quality. The geographical scale of the effects would be national, in considering the level of protection afforded to the watercourses.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	++	++	++	The support for development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity will have a directly positive effect on this objective. The effects would be positive at a local to international scale, given the protection afforded to the wildlife sites.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	The support for development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity including creation of wildlife habitats would positively contribute towards reducing carbon emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	There is a positive link between support for biodiversity and habitat improvements and water management. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	+	+	+	The support for development which incorporates measures to support biodiversity including creation of wildlife habitats will positively contribute towards urban cooling and water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 57 Policy OS1: Open Space (including Policies OS1N, OS1S, OS1C, OS1W)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The policy supports the protection, enhancement and provision of open spaces. This will positively contribute to ensuring equality of access to such open spaces. The positive effects would be local and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Protection and provision of open spaces directly supports health and wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	+	Protection and provision of open spaces could see more people choosing active travel options. This may take some time and would need supplementing with approaches that would encourage active travel.	Seek and promote active travel routes.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	++	++	++	Protection and provision of open spaces directly supports this IA Objective of supporting community facilities. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	The protection and provision of open space plays a major role in conserving landscape and townscape character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	Open space is likely to form part of or provide setting to many parts of the historic environment within and beyond the borough. The protection and provision of open space contributes positively towards this objective. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	+	+	The protection and provision of open space would positively contribute towards improving air quality. Positive effects may take some time and may benefit from targeted schemes. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes and/or improvement of existing green infrastructure in AQMAs or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	+	+	+	The protection and provision of open space would positively contribute towards protecting land and soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	+	+	+	Open space plays an important role in drainage and sustaining water quality where relevant. Protection and provision of open spaces would positively contribute towards the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	Open spaces can have biodiversity interest and their protection and provision are positive in contributing to a biodiversity network. There would not be a major positive effect in that the uses of open spaces are not always compatible with nature conservation. The effects would be positive at a local to regional scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the consumption of resources and waste management.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is not significant link between this policy and the reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	Open space plays an important role in absorbing surface water run-off and drainage. Protection and provision of open spaces would positively contribute towards this objective. The geographical scale of the effects would be regional.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	+	+	+	Open spaces could play a role in providing resilience to climate change through urban cooling, depending on their design and location. The effects would be local in scale.	Provide guidance, potentially through an SPD or within the Local Plan, on how open space design could assist in providing resilience to climate change.

Table 58 Policy OS2: Outdoor Sports and Recreation Facilities and Provision

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The policy supports the protection, enhancement and provision of outdoor sports and recreation facilities. This will positively contribute to ensuring equality of access to such facilities. The positive effects would be local and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	++	++	++	Protection and provision of outdoor sports and recreation facilities directly supports health and wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	+	+	Protection and provision of indoor sports and recreation facilities contributes to reducing the need to travel by ensuring communities are well served by facilities. The effect would become positive as facilities are delivered. The effects may be beneficial to communities beyond Trafford, depending on the location of any facilities that would be developed.	Seek and promote active travel routes.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	++	++	++	Protection and provision of outdoor sports and recreation facilities directly supports this IA Objective of supporting community facilities. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving and enhancing landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	+	In ensuring accessible facilities for communities, travel times or distances would be reduced and more active modes of transport could be used. This would have a positive effect in the management of air quality. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting soils and land.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	+	+	+	Some outdoor sports and recreation facilities have biodiversity value, so their protection and provision will have a positive effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is not significant link between this policy and the reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	Protection and provision of outdoor sports and recreation facilities can help reduce runoff and increase flood storage. This will have a positive effect on flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	Protection and provision of outdoor sports and recreation facilities can help reduce runoff and increase flood storage. This will have a positive effect resilience to climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 59 Policy OS3: Indoor Sports and Recreation Provision

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The policy supports the protection, enhancement and provision of indoor sports and recreation facilities. This will positively contribute to ensuring equality of access to such facilities. The positive effects would be local and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	++	++	++	Protection and provision of indoor sports and recreation facilities directly supports health and wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	+	+	Protection and provision of indoor sports and recreation facilities contributes to reducing the need to travel by ensuring communities are well served by facilities. The effect would become positive as facilities are delivered. The effects may be beneficial to communities beyond Trafford, depending on the location of any facilities that would be developed.	Seek and promote active travel routes.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	++	++	++	Protection and provision of indoor sports and recreation facilities directly supports this IA Objective of supporting community facilities. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving and enhancing landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	+	In ensuring accessible facilities for communities, travel times or distances would be reduced and more active modes of transport could be used. This would have a positive effect in the management of air quality. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting soils and land.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is not significant link between this policy and the reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resilience to climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



*Table 60 Policy OS4: Loss of Sport and Recreation Land or Facilities*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The policy protects against the loss of sport and recreation land or facilities. This will positively contribute to ensuring equality of access to such facilities. The positive effects would be local and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	++	++	++	The protection of sport and recreation land or facilities directly supports health and wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	+	+	The protection of sport and recreation land or facilities contributes to reducing the need to travel by ensuring communities are well served by facilities. The effect would become positive as facilities are delivered. The effects may be beneficial to communities beyond Trafford, depending on the location of any facilities that would be developed.	Seek and promote active travel routes.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	++	++	++	The protection of sport and recreation land or facilities directly supports this IA Objective of supporting community facilities. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving and enhancing landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The protection of sport and recreation land or facilities will help ensure that travel times or distances would be reduced and more active modes of transport could be used. This would have a positive effect in the management of air quality. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting soils and land.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	Some outdoor sports and recreation facilities have biodiversity value, so their protection will have a positive effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is not significant link between this policy and the reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	+	+	+	Protection of outdoor sports and recreation facilities can help reduce runoff and increase flood storage. This will have a positive effect on flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	Protection of outdoor sports and recreation facilities can help reduce runoff and increase flood storage. This will have a positive effect resilience to climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 61 Policy OS5: Allotments and Growing Space

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The policy supports the protection and provision of allotments and food growing areas. This will positively contribute to ensuring equality of access to such facilities. The positive effects would be local and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Protection and provision of allotments and food growing areas supports health and wellbeing. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	++	++	++	Protection and provision of allotments and food growing areas supports this IA Objective by supporting community facilities. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	Protection and provision of allotments and food growing areas can positively contribute towards local character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	Protection and provision of allotments and food growing areas could positively contribute towards protecting land and soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the consumption of resources and waste management.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	+	+	Impacts on food security are identified as a climate risk, the provision of allotment space will help to mitigate this risk at the local scale. This effect will be in the longer term.	Provide guidance, potentially through an SPD or within the Local Plan, on how open space design could assist in providing resilience to climate change.

Table 62 Policy OS6: Cemeteries and Burial Grounds

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The policy supports the protection and provision of cemeteries and burial grounds. This will positively contribute to ensuring equality of access to such facilities. The positive effects would be local and would be long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Protection and provision of cemeteries and burial grounds supports health and wellbeing by giving access to greenspace. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable travel.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	Protection and provision of cemeteries and burial grounds supports community facilities by giving access to greenspace. This would be a long-lasting effect that would affect all members of the Trafford community, plus those in neighbouring areas.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	Protection and provision of cemeteries and burial grounds can positively contribute towards local character. The effects would be long lasting and would be experienced by the whole community.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	Protection and provision of cemeteries and burial grounds can positively contribute towards local character and the setting of any associated heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The protection of cemeteries and burial grounds will help ensure that travel times or distances would be reduced, and more active modes of transport could be used. This would have a positive effect in the management of air quality. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	The provision of new cemeteries and burial grounds could potentially have a negative effect on ground contamination. The policy mitigates this by saying new burial space will be supported outside of a flood zone and outside of groundwater source protection zone. The overall effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
11	N	N	N	The provision of new cemeteries and burial grounds could potentially have a negative effect on water resources. The policy mitigates this by saying new burial space will be supported outside of a flood zone and outside of groundwater source protection zone. The overall effect is neutral.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	The policy supports opportunities to enhance the biodiversity value of cemeteries and burial spaces, having a positive effect on the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the consumption of resources and waste management.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk. The policy includes wording on avoiding areas of flood risk but this does not affect levels of flood risk to properties.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resilience to climate change.	Provide guidance, potentially through an SPD or within the Local Plan, on how open space design could assist in providing resilience to climate change.

Table 63 Policy WA1: Managing Flood Risk

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	The policy promotes the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems. This would potentially have a positive impact on the landscape, quality of open spaces and the green infrastructure network.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protection of soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	Whilst the proposed policy has little effect on water efficiency, it does promote use of SUDS, which will avoid negative impact on water quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	The policy seeks to secure SuDS, which can form part of the green infrastructure network and enhance biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	++	++	++	The policy sets out detailed requirements in relation to reducing flood risk, flood risk assessments and flood risk mitigation systems. The policy would have direct significant permanent positive effects in relation to the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The reduction of flood risk and support for flood risk mitigation systems would positively contribute towards resilience to the effects of climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 64 Policy WA2: Sustainable Drainage – Surface and Foul Water*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	The policy supports retrofitting Sustainable Drainage Systems in existing developments. This would potentially have a positive impact on the landscape, quality of open spaces and the green infrastructure network.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protection of soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	++	++	++	The policy supports the sustainable drainage of surface and foul water. The policy will have a direct positive effect on protecting and improving the quality and availability of water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	The policy supports retrofitting Sustainable Drainage Systems in existing developments. This would potentially have a positive impact on the biodiversity network.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	++	++	++	The policy supports the sustainable drainage of surface and foul water, which would contribute towards reducing flood risk and have a positive effect on the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The policy would contribute towards the reduction of flood risk, which would positively contribute towards resilience to the effects of climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 65 Policy WA3: Flood Storage Areas*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape, townscape and local character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protection of soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	The policy safeguards strategic areas for flood management. The policy will have a direct positive effect on protecting and improving the quality and availability of water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	+	+	+	The policy safeguards strategic areas for flood management. These areas have biodiversity value and so their protection will have a positive effect on the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	++	++	++	The safeguarding of strategic areas for flood management would contribute towards reducing flood risk and have a positive effect on the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The policy would contribute towards the reduction of flood risk, which would positively contribute towards resilience to the effects of climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



Table 66 Policy WA4: Water Efficiency

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape, townscape and local character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protection of soils.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	++	++	++	The policy supports improving water efficiency, which will have a direct positive effect on protecting and improving the quality and availability of water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and reduction of greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	Increased water scarcity, with increased summer drought risk, is an important climate change risk. The support for water efficiency will have a positive effect on resilience to climate change.	Include support for retrofitting water efficiency measures.

*Table 67 Policy CT1: Protecting Existing Community and Social Facilities*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The protection of existing community and social facilities will help to support local access and equal opportunity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports the protection of existing community and social facilities – including health and social care facilities; and also facilities which contribute towards wellbeing. The policy will have a positive effect on health. Facilities are likely to serve communities beyond Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The protection of existing community and social facilities will reduce the need for residents to travel elsewhere to access facilities. This will have a positive effect on the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	++	++	++	The protection of existing community and social facilities will ensure people are adequately served by those facilities and have a positive effect on the objective. Facilities are likely to serve communities beyond Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The protection of existing community and social facilities will reduce the need for residents to travel elsewhere to access facilities. This would have an indirect positive effect on air quality. The effects would contribute to improved air quality nationally.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soil and land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and reducing greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and climate change resilience.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 68 Policy CT2: Loss of Community Facilities

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The protection against the loss of existing community facilities or local services will help to support local access and equal opportunity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The protection against the loss of existing community facilities or local services will include health and social care facilities. The policy will have a positive effect on health. Facilities are likely to serve communities beyond Trafford. It may take some time to see any new facilities delivered.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The protection against the loss of existing community facilities or local services will reduce the need for residents to travel elsewhere to access facilities. This will have a positive effect on the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	++	++	++	The protection against the loss of existing community facilities or local services will ensure people are adequately served by those facilities and have a positive effect on the objective. Facilities are likely to serve communities beyond Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The protection against the loss of existing community facilities or local services will reduce the need for residents to travel elsewhere to access facilities. This would have an indirect positive effect on air quality. The effects would contribute to improved air quality nationally.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soil and land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and reducing greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and climate change resilience.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



Table 69 Policy CT3: New Community and Social Facilities

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The support for new and expansion of existing social and community uses will help to support local access and equal opportunity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The support for new and expansion of existing social and community uses will include health and social care facilities. The policy will have a positive effect on health. Facilities are likely to serve communities beyond Trafford. It may take some time to see any new facilities delivered.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	The support for new and expansion of existing social and community uses will reduce the need for residents to travel elsewhere to access facilities. The policy supports facilities in accessible locations and where there is a local need. This will have a positive effect on the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	++	++	++	The support for new and expansion of existing social and community uses will ensure people are adequately served by those facilities and have a positive effect on the objective. Facilities are likely to serve communities beyond Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and landscape and townscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	The support for new and expansion of existing social and community uses will reduce the need for residents to travel elsewhere to access facilities. This would have an indirect positive effect on air quality. The effects would contribute to improved air quality nationally.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soil and land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and resources and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and reducing greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and climate change resilience.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 70 Policy CT4: Fast Food

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The limiting of hot food takeaways in proximity to schools will have a positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The limiting of hot food takeaways within designated centres will help the vitality and viability of those centres.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 71 Policy CT5: Social Value in New Developments

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	The requirement for all major development to enter local labour and training agreements will help provide employment opportunities and this will reduce deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The requirement for all major development to enter local labour and training agreements will help provide employment opportunities and this will contribute positively towards health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The requirement for all major development to enter local labour and training agreements will help maintain a sufficient labour force and improve the qualifications and skills of residents. The effects will be across Trafford and long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and townscape and landscape.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 72 Policy BE1: Design

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	Requiring a high standard of design and a positive contribution to local character will have a positive effect on the quality of housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	Ensuring buildings and spaces are designed in a way to be inclusive to different users through reducing physical barriers and creating opportunities for community activity will have a positive effect on equality of opportunity and reducing deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting development in well-connected areas will improve access to health facilities. Supporting multi-functioning green spaces and ensuring safe environments for active travel will promote physical activity and wellbeing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	Locating development in well connected, areas accessible via public transport and active travel links will have a positive effect on sustainable transport. Ensuring safe environments for active travel will also be positive on this effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	Locating development in well connected, areas accessible via public transport and active travel links will have a positive effect on improving availability for residents to use access travel to meet their needs. Ensuring safe environments for active travel will also be positive on this effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	++	++	++	Requiring development to have a high standard of design and make a positive contribution to the character and distinctiveness of the borough will have a directly positive effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	Requiring development to be appropriate to local context and enhance the quality of the area will have a positive effect on conservation of the historic environment.	The policy could make specific reference to the need to conserve the historic environment.
9	+	+	+	Locating development in well connected, areas accessible via public transport and active travel links will have a positive effect on reducing the need to travel and improving air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soil and land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	+	+	+	The policy supports incorporating multi-functional green spaces which provide biodiversity habitat benefits, which will have a positive effect on biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	Include access to waste recycling facilities.
14	+	+	+	Supporting development in well-connected areas accessible via public transport and active travel links will help reduce emissions from private motor vehicles. Reducing potential carbon emissions through the application of the energy hierarchy will also have a positive effect on the objective.	Require new large-scale buildings to achieve a certain BREEAM Certification level.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and reducing flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	The policy supports incorporating multi-functional green spaces which provide improved natural capacity to mitigate the impacts of climate change, which will have a positive effect.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 73 Policy BE2: Tall Buildings

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	The supports for well-designed tall buildings in appropriate locations will have a positive effect on the quality of housing	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	The policy supports amenity space such as communal gardens for building users, which will positively contribute towards wellbeing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	Tall buildings are supported within town centres and locations within 800 metres of a railway station or Metrolink stop are supported. This will help reduce the need to travel and promote sustainable modes of transport for users of the tall buildings.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	+	+	+	Tall buildings are supported within town centres and locations within 800 metres of a railway station or Metrolink stop are supported. This will help reduce the need to travel and promote sustainable modes of transport for users of tall buildings to access local facilities. Also, the policy supports amenity space such as communal gardens for building users.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	+	+	+	Supporting well-designed tall buildings in appropriate locations will have a positive effect on townscape and local character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	All proposals for tall buildings must demonstrate how they would respect and enhance existing character, this would have a positive effect on nearby historic assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	Tall buildings are supported within town centres and locations within 800 metres of a railway station or Metrolink stop are supported. This will help reduce the need to travel and promote sustainable modes of transport, reducing emissions from private motor vehicles. The support for reducing energy consumption will also contribute positively to the objective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	+	+	+	Tall buildings are supported within town centres and locations within 800 metres of a railway station or Metrolink stop are supported. This will help reduce the need to travel and promote sustainable modes of transport, reducing emissions from private motor vehicles.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 74 Policy BE3: Elevated Green Spaces

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Supporting development proposals with elevated amenity space and other elevated green space will contribute positively towards wellbeing.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to community facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	Supporting elevated green spaces will contribute positively towards townscape and local character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	Supporting elevated green spaces will contribute positively towards townscape and local character. This could contribute positively to the historic environment depending on the local context.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	+	+	Supporting elevated green spaces will have a positive effect on air quality. Positive effects may take some time and may benefit from targeted schemes. The effects would be long lasting. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda.	Implement new planting schemes and/or improvement of existing green infrastructure in AQMAs or those at risk of becoming an AQMA.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soils and land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	There is potential for elevated green spaces to have a positive role in drainage and sustaining water quality.	Include drainage and water quality in the policy.
12	+	+	+	There is potential for elevated green spaces to have a positive role in contributing to biodiversity. The policy says support will be given for proposals to be incorporated within the Biodiversity Net Gain requirement.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	+	+	+	There is potential for elevated green spaces to have a positive effect on reducing greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	+	+	+	There is a positive link between elevated green spaces and water management. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale, given the protection afforded to all green infrastructure.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	+	+	+	Support for elevated green spaces can provide urban cooling and improved drainage, positively contributing to climate change resilience. The effects would be immediate and would be long-lasting. The effects would be positive at a local scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



*Table 75 Policy BE4: Advertisements and Signage*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	The requirement for all advertisements and signage to be suitable located, well designed and responsibly managed will have a positive effect on landscape, townscape and local character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	+	+	+	The requirement for all advertisements and signage to be suitable located, well designed and responsibly managed will have a positive effect on heritage assets. The policy includes supports proposals which do not harm to heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and conserving land whilst reducing land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 76 Policy BE5: Heritage Assets

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The conservation and enhancement of the historic environment supports economic growth, particularly through regeneration, improved image and tourism. The positive effects would be local in their nature. They would be long lasting and have the potential to support cumulative positive effects.	Include policies within the Local Plan that identify opportunities to support the role of the historic environment in economic growth.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	++	++	++	The conservation of the historic environment will have a positive effect on landscape, townscape and local character. The positive effect would be felt both within and adjacent to Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	++	++	++	The conservation of the historic environment will have a direct positive effect on heritage assets. The borough's heritage assets are recognised at a national level and the effects are national in their scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	The conservation of the historic environment is intrinsically linked to efficient use of land and resources through supporting the reuse of derelict land and historic properties. The proposed policy's effects can be implemented immediately and would have long term effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	+	+	+	The conservation of the historic environment is intrinsically linked to efficient use of resources and avoidance of waste through supporting the reuse of derelict land and historic properties and restoring historic fabric. The proposed policy's effects can be implemented immediately and would have long term local effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	Support proposals which protect heritage assets from climate change risks.

*Table 77 Policy BE6: Designated Heritage Assets*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The protection of heritage assets and their settings supports economic growth, particularly through regeneration, improved image and tourism. The positive effects would be local in their nature. They would be long lasting and have the potential to support cumulative positive effects.	Include policies within the Local Plan that identify opportunities to support the role of the historic environment in economic growth.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	++	++	++	The protection of heritage assets and their settings will have a positive effect on landscape, townscape and local character. The positive effect would be felt both within and adjacent to Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	++	++	++	The protection of heritage assets and their settings will have a direct positive effect on heritage assets. The borough's heritage assets are recognised at a national level and the effects are national in their scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	The conservation of the historic environment is intrinsically linked to efficient use of land and resources through supporting the reuse of derelict land and historic properties. The proposed policy's effects can be implemented immediately and would have long term effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	+	+	+	The conservation of the historic environment is intrinsically linked to efficient use of resources and avoidance of waste through supporting the reuse of derelict land and historic properties and restoring historic fabric. The proposed policy's effects can be implemented immediately and would have long term local effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 78 Policy BE7: Non-designated Heritage Assets*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	+	+	The protection of non-designated heritage assets and their settings supports economic growth, particularly through regeneration, improved image and tourism. The positive effects would be local in their nature. They would be long lasting and have the potential to support cumulative positive effects.	Include policies within the Local Plan that identify opportunities to support the role of the historic environment in economic growth.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	++	++	++	The protection of non-designated heritage assets and their settings will have a positive effect on landscape, townscape and local character. The positive effect would be felt both within and adjacent to Trafford.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The protection of non-designated heritage assets and their settings will have a direct positive effect on heritage assets. The borough's non-designated heritage assets are recognised at a local level and the effects are local in their scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	The conservation of the historic environment is intrinsically linked to efficient use of land and resources through supporting the reuse of derelict land and historic properties. The proposed policy's effects can be implemented immediately and would have long term effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
13	+	+	+	The conservation of the historic environment is intrinsically linked to efficient use of resources and avoidance of waste through supporting the reuse of derelict land and historic properties and restoring historic fabric. The proposed policy's effects can be implemented immediately and would have long term local effects.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 79 Policy BE8: Noise and Vibration

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	This policy improves the future health and wellbeing of residents by resisting development which would generate unacceptable levels of noise and vibration. Overall new developments across Trafford should have a better standard of living and greater equality of health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	Resisting development which would generate unacceptable levels of noise and vibration will have a positive effect on local character from a sound perspective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
8	+	+	+	Resisting development which would generate unacceptable levels of noise and vibration will have a positive effect on local character and cultural heritage from a sound perspective.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soil and land contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 80 Policy BE9: Land Contamination and Remediation*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	+	+	+	Resisting development with potential unacceptable impacts on the groundwater environment and human health due to contamination will have a positive effect on health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and economic growth.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and local character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	+	+	+	This policy will reduce the number of sites developed on contaminated land or at least reduce the harm development will have on contaminated land.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	+	+	+	This policy will reduce the risk of water contamination from potential developments on contaminated sites as developers will be required to provide risk assessments and find approved solutions to reduce risk. The number of potential developments on contaminated sites may also be reduced.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



*Table 81 Policy BE10: Digital Connectivity*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	+	+	+	Delivery of digital infrastructure will over time play a role in promoting equality with regards to access to digital services, but as this is only required of new development.. The effects would be local in scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	++	++	++	The policy directly supports economic growth through expansion of digital infrastructure to meet the needs of businesses. The effects would be long lasting and would be cumulative as the economy would grow.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	++	++	++	The policy directly supports the objective by promoting digital infrastructure to enable the anticipated scale and distribution of development. The effect would be local and long lasting.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and access to facilities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
7	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and local character.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and heritage assets.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and air quality.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soils and contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short- term impact	Medium- term impact	Long- term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 82 Policy CL1: Protecting and Supporting Existing Cultural, Leisure and Tourism Assets (Including Policy CL1N)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	++	++	The policy supports the protection and enhancement of Trafford's cultural and tourism assets, which are major parts of the borough's economy. Policy CL1N supports mixed use development at Manchester United Football Stadium and Wharfside that will contribute towards economic growth. The effects would be positive and would likely grow over time as success was built. Positive effects may be felt beyond the borough as visitors make Trafford one of several areas in the region to visit.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	Policy CL1N supports active travel access to the Manchester United Football Stadium and Wharfside and delivery of sustainable transport infrastructure nearby locations. This will have a positive effect on sustainable transport.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	Policy CL1N supports active travel access to the Manchester United Football Stadium and Wharfside and delivery of sustainable transport infrastructure nearby locations. This will enable more people to access local facilities to meet their needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	Supporting the protection and enhancement of Trafford's cultural and tourism assets could have a positive effect on local character. Other Local Plan policies should be implemented to ensure no unjustified harm to the physical elements of townscape and landscape. The effects would be local in their scope.	Ensure Local Plan policies appropriately conserve and enhance townscape and landscape.
8	+	+	+	Supporting the protection and enhancement of Trafford's cultural and tourism assets could have a positive effect on protecting heritage assets. Other Local Plan policies should be implemented to ensure no unjustified harm to the physical elements of the historic environment. The effects would be local in their scope.	Ensure Local Plan policies appropriately conserve and enhance the historic environment.
9	+	+	+	Policy CL1N supports active travel access to the Manchester United Football Stadium and Wharfside and delivery of sustainable transport infrastructure nearby locations. This will help reduce the need to travel and reduce motor vehicle emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soils and contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 83 Policy CL2: New Culture, Leisure and Tourism Developments (Including Policy CL2N)

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and equality and deprivation	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and health.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	+	++	++	The policy supports the enhancement and expansion of Trafford's cultural and tourism assets, which are major parts of the borough's economy. The effects would be positive and would likely grow over time as success was built. Positive effects may be felt beyond the borough as visitors make Trafford one of several areas in the region to visit.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
5	+	+	+	Planning obligations are sought for improvements to sustainable transport infrastructure. Policy CL2N supports improvements to public transport and digital connectivity at the Trafford Centre Rectangle. This will have a positive effect on sustainable transport and utilities to enable development.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
6	+	+	+	Planning obligations are sought for improvements to sustainable transport infrastructure. Policy CL2N supports improvements to public transport at the Trafford Centre Rectangle and proposals for community facilities. This will enable more people to access local facilities to meet their needs.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	+	+	+	The policy supports enhancements and expansion of cultural and tourism that are appropriate to scale and nature of the location. The effects would be local in their scope.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	+	+	+	The policy supports enhancements and expansion of cultural and tourism that are appropriate to scale and nature of the location. This would have a positive effect on nearby heritage assets. The effects would be local in their scope.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	+	+	+	Planning obligations are sought for improvements to sustainable transport infrastructure. Policy CL2N supports improvements to public transport at the Trafford Centre Rectangle. This will help reduce the need to travel and reduce motor vehicle emissions.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soils and contamination.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and water resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
12	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and protecting biodiversity.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the objective to reduce greenhouse gases.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
15	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and the reduction of flood risk.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and mitigating climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

*Table 84 Policy IP1: Infrastructure Delivery and Planning Obligations (including Policy IP1N)*

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support the growth by using planning obligations will help support housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered by using planning obligations will help deliver social infrastructure and sustainable transport. This will have a positive effect on reducing levels of deprivation. It may take time for all necessary infrastructure to be delivered. The positive effect would be at a local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support growth by using planning obligations will help deliver health facilities. It may take time for all necessary infrastructure to be delivered. The positive effect would be at a local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered by using planning obligations will help ensure the infrastructure essential to growth and investment would be delivered. The positive effects would likely be cumulative and could extend beyond the borough's boundaries.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support growth by using planning obligations will help deliver sustainable transport, active travel and highways infrastructure. The effects would be long-lasting and would likely extend beyond the borough, depending on the location and nature of future development.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support growth by using planning obligations will help deliver community infrastructure. The effects would be long-lasting and would likely extend beyond the borough, depending on the location and nature of future development.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	The delivery of infrastructure has the potential for both positive and negative effects on the character of the borough and adjacent areas. The overall link is not significant.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support growth by using planning obligations will help deliver conservation of the historic environment.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support growth by using planning obligations will help deliver sustainable transport and green infrastructure. This could have a positive effect on air quality in the longer term.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soils and contaminated and.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	+	+	The policy can be used to deliver specific green infrastructure such as tree planting and measures that contribute towards biodiversity net gain. This will have a positive effect on biodiversity. Depending on the biodiversity, the effects could be of a local scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	+	+	There is the potential for the proposed policy to secure delivery of infrastructure that would support recycling and reuse. There would be a minor positive effect that would be delivered in the medium to longer term. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda on recycling.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support growth by using planning obligations will help deliver sustainable transport and green infrastructure. This could have a positive effect on reducing greenhouse gases in the medium to longer term. The positive effects would contribute to an international agenda on climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support growth by using planning obligations will help deliver flood resilience and avoidance measures. The positive effect would likely be regional in scale and would be delivered in the medium to longer term.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	+	+	Ensuring that sufficient supporting infrastructure is delivered to support growth by using planning obligations will help deliver measures to reduce the impacts of climate change. There would be a minor positive effect that would be delivered in the medium to longer term. The positive effects would affect local communities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

Table 85 Policy IP2: Managing and Monitoring Planning Obligations

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
1	+	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that sufficient infrastructure is delivered to help support housing delivery.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
2	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that sufficient social infrastructure and sustainable transport infrastructure is delivered. This will have a positive effect on reducing levels of deprivation. It may take time for all necessary infrastructure to be delivered. The positive effect would be at a local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
3	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that sufficient health facilities are delivered. It may take time for all necessary infrastructure to be delivered. The positive effect would be at a local level.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
4	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that the infrastructure essential to growth and investment would be delivered. The positive effects would likely be cumulative and could extend beyond the borough's boundaries.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
5	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that sustainable transport, active travel and highways infrastructure are delivered. The effects would be long-lasting and would likely extend beyond the borough, depending on the location and nature of future development.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
6	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that community infrastructure is delivered. The effects would be long-lasting and would likely extend beyond the borough, depending on the location and nature of future development.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
7	N	N	N	The delivery of infrastructure has the potential for both positive and negative effects on the character of the borough and adjacent areas. The overall link is not significant.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
8	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that conservation of the historic environment is delivered.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
9	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that sustainable transport and green infrastructure are delivered. This could have a positive effect on air quality in the longer term.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.

IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
10	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and soils and contaminated and.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
11	N	N	N	There is no significant link between this policy and waste resources.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
12	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure that specific green infrastructure such as tree planting and measures that contribute towards biodiversity net gain are delivered. This will have a positive effect on biodiversity. Depending on the biodiversity, the effects could be of a national scale.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
13	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help secure delivery of infrastructure that would support recycling and reuse. There would be a minor positive effect that would be delivered in the medium to longer term. The positive effects would contribute to a national agenda on recycling.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
14	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure sustainable transport and green infrastructure are delivered. This could have a positive effect on reducing greenhouse gases in the medium to longer term. The positive effects would contribute to an international agenda on climate change.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.



IA Objective	Short-term impact	Medium-term impact	Long-term impact	Supporting Comments	Mitigation
15	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure flood resilience and avoidance measures are delivered. The positive effect would likely be regional in scale and would be delivered in the medium to longer term.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.
16	N	+	+	Funding the monitoring and reporting of planning obligation will help ensure measures to reduce the impacts of climate change are delivered. There would be a minor positive effect that would be delivered in the medium to longer term. The positive effects would affect local communities.	No mitigation or enhancement identified.